arXiv:0802.1564v2 [math.QA] 11 Jun 2008

REPRESENTATION THEORY OF W-ALGEBRAS, II: RAMOND TWISTED REPRESENTATIONS

TOMOYUKI ARAKAWA

Dedicated to Professor Akihiro Tsuchiya on the occasion of his retirement from Nagoya University

Abstract. We study the Ramond twisted representations of the affine W-algebra $\mathcal{W}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ in the case that f admits a good even grading. We establish the vanishing and the almost irreducibility of the corresponding BRST cohomology. This confirms some of the recent conjectures of Kac and Wakimoto [KW5]. In type A, our results give the characters of all irreducible ordinary Ramond twisted representations of $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{sl}_n, f)$ for all nilpotent elements f and all non-critical k, and prove the existence of modular invariant representations conjectured in [KW5].

1. Introduction

Let $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ be a complex simple Lie algebra, f a nilpotent element of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$, $\mathfrak{g}$ the non-twisted affine Kac-Moody Lie algebra associated with $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$. Let $\mathcal{W}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ be the *affine W-algebra* associated with $(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ at level $k \in \mathbb{C}$, defined by the method of the quantum BRST reduction [FF, dBT2, KRW].

The vertex algebra $\mathcal{W}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ is in general $\frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$-graded [KW3]. Therefore it is natural [KW5] to consider its *Ramond twisted representations*[1]. In fact it is in the Ramond twisted representations where the corresponding *finite W-algebra* $\mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{fin}}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ [Lyn, dBT1, P1] appears as its *Zhu algebra*, according to [DSK].

In the previous paper [A3] we studied the representations of $\mathcal{W}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ in the case that f is a principal nilpotent element. In the present paper we study the Ramond twisted representations of $\mathcal{W}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ in the case that f admits a good even grading. All nilpotent elements in type A satisfy this condition.

There is a natural BRST (co)homology functor $H_0^{\mathrm{BRST}}(?)$ from a suitable category of representations of $\mathfrak{g}$ at level k to the category of Ramond twisted representations of $\mathcal{W}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$. In our case $H_\bullet^{\mathrm{BRST}}(M)$ is essentially the same BRST cohomology studied in the recent work [KW5] of Kac and Wakimoto. In the case that f is principal this functor is identical to the "$-$"-reduction functor studied in [FKW, A1, A3].

The main result of this paper is the vanishing and the *almost irreduciblity* of the BRST cohomology (Theorem 5.5.4). Though our formulation is slightly different from that of [KW5], this result proves Conjecture B of [KW5], partially. Here, recall

---

Key words and phrases. W-algebras.

The author is partially supported by the JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) No. 17740006.

[1] If f is an even nilpotent element then $\mathcal{W}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ is $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$-graded and Ramond twisted representations are usual (untwisted) representations.

[DSK] that a positive energy representation $M = \bigoplus_{d \in d_0 + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} M_0$, $M_{d_0} \neq 0$, of a vertex algebra V is called almost irreducible if M is generated by M_{d_0} and there is no graded submodule of M intersecting M_{d_0} trivially. In particular an almost irreducible module M is irreducible if and only if its "top part" M_{d_0} is irreducible over the Zhu algebra of V.

In our case the top part of the BRST cohomology functor is identical to the Lie algebra homology functor (the Whittaker functor [M, BK]) from the highest weight category of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ to the category of $\mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{fin}}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$-modules (see §5.4). Therefore our result reduces the study of the BRST cohomology functor to that of the Whittaker functor in the representations theory of finite W-algebras.

Although the representation theory of finite W-algebras has been rapidly developing (cf. [P3, P2, Los, BGK]), not much is known about the Whittaker functor associated with $\mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{fin}}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ except for some special cases [M], unless $\bar{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{sl}_n$: In type A, Brundan and Kleshchev [BK] determined the characters of all irreducible finite-dimensional representations of $\mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{fin}}(\mathfrak{sl}_n, f)$, by showing that the Whittaker functor sends an simple module to zero or a simple module, and any simple $\mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{fin}}(\mathfrak{sl}_n, f)$-module is obtained in this manner. It follows that in type A the almost irreduciblity of the BRST cohomology actually implies the irreduciblity, and furthermore, any irreducible ordinary[2] representation of $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{sl}_n, f)$ is isomorphic to $H_0^{\mathrm{BRST}}(L(\lambda))$ for some irreducible highest weight representation $L(\lambda)$ of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n$ with highest weight λ (Theorem 5.7.1). Hence our result shows that the character of *every* irreducible ordinary Ramond twisted representation of $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{sl}_n, f)$ at any level $k \in \mathbb{C}$ is determined by that of the corresponding irreducible highest weight representation of $\mathfrak{g}$, which is known [KT] (in terms of the Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials) provided that k is not critical. This generalizes the main results of [A2, A3].

The most important representations of a vertex algebra are those irreducible ordinary representations whose normalized characters are modular invariant. Kac and Wakimoto [KW5] have recently discovered the remarkable triples $(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f, k)$, for which the (nonzero) normalized Euler-Poincaré characters of the BRST cohomology $H_\bullet^{\mathrm{BRST}}(L(\lambda))$, with the coefficient in the irreducible principal admissible representations $L(\lambda)$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ at level k, are homomorphic functions on the complex upper half plane and span an $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$-invariant space[3]. Our results show in type A that these Euler-Poincaré characters are indeed characters of irreducible Ramond twisted representations of $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{sl}_n, f)$, as conjectured in [KW5] (see Theorem 5.8.4)[4].

Non-twisted representations of affine W-algebras are studied in our subsequent paper.

Acknowledgment. The author is grateful to Professor M. Wakimoto for explaining his joint work [KW5] with Professor V. Kac, and to Professor A. Premet for very useful discussion on finite W-algebras. He is grateful to Professor Simon Goodwin who pointed out a mistake in the first version of the paper. The results of the paper were reported in part at TMS & AMS Joint International Conference in Taichung in December 2005, in "Representation Theory of Algebraic Groups and Quantum

---

[2] An irreducible positive energy representation of a vertex algebra is called ordinary if its all homogeneous subspaces are finite-dimensional.

[3] In the case that f is a principal nilpotent the existence of modular invariant representation of $\mathcal{W}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ was conjectured by Frenkel, Kac and Wakimoto [FKW] and proved in [A3].

[4] It seems that the "top parts" of modular invariant representations are in general "generic" representations of $\mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{fin}}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$, see Theorem 5.8.1.

Groups 06" in Nagoya in June 2006 and in "Exploration of New Structures and Natural Constructions in Mathematical Physics" in Nagoya in March 2007.

Notation. Throughout this paper the ground field is the complex number $\mathbb{C}$ and tensor products and dimensions are always meant to be as vector spaces over $\mathbb{C}$.

2. Preliminaries on Vertex Algebras and their Twisted Representations

In this section we collect the necessary information on vertex algebras and their (twisted) representations. The textbook [K2, FBZ] and the papers [Li, BaK, DSK] are our basic references in this section.

2.1. **Fields.** Let V be a vector space. For a formal series $a(z) \in (\operatorname{End} V)[[z, z^{-1}]]$, we set $a_{(n)} = \operatorname{Res}_z z^n a(z)$, where Res_z denotes the coefficient of z^{-1}.

An element $a(z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} a_{(n)} z^{-n-1} \in (\operatorname{End} V)[[z, z^{-1}]]$ is called a *field* on V if $a_{(n)}v = 0$ for all $v \in V$ and $n \gg 0$.

The normally ordered product

$$:a(z)b(z):= a(z)_- b(z) + b(z)a(z)_+ \tag{1}$$

of two fields $a(z)$ and $b(z)$ is also a field, where $a(z)_- = \sum_{n<0} a_{(n)} z^{-n-1}$ and $a(z)_+ = \sum_{n\geq 0} a_{(n)} z^{-n-1}$.

Two fields $a(z)$ and $b(z)$ are called *mutually local* if

$$(z - w)^r [a(z), b(w)] = 0 \quad \text{for } r \gg 0 \tag{2}$$

in $(\operatorname{End} V)[[z, z^{-1}, w, w^{-1}]]$.

Set

$$\delta(z - w) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} z^n w^{-n-1} \in \mathbb{C}[[z, z^{-1}, w, w^{-1}]]. \tag{3}$$

The locality (2) gives

$$[a(z), b(w)] = \sum_{n\geq 0} (a(z)_{(n)} b(w)) \partial_w^{[n]} \delta(z - w), \tag{4}$$

where $\partial_w^{[n]} = \partial_w^n / n!$, $\partial_w = \frac{\partial}{\partial w}$, and

$$a(z)_{(n)} b(w) = \operatorname{Res}_z (z - w)^n [a(z), b(w)].$$

2.2. **Vertex Algebras.** A *vertex algebra* is a vector space V equipped with the following data:

- A vector $\mathbf{1} \in V$ (vacuum vector),
- $T \in \operatorname{End} V$ (translation operator),
- A collection $\{a^\alpha(z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} a^\alpha_{(n)} z^{-n-1}; \alpha \in A\}$ of fields on V, where A is an index set (generating fields),

These data are subject to the following:

(i) $T\mathbf{1} = 0$,
(ii) $[T, a^\alpha(z)] = \partial_z a^\alpha(z)$ for all $\alpha \in A$,
(iii) $a^\alpha(z)\mathbf{1} \in V[[z]]$ for all $\alpha \in A$,
(iv) the vectors $a^{\alpha_1}_{(m_1)} \dots a^{\alpha_r}_{(m_r)} \mathbf{1}$ with $r \geq 0$, $\alpha_i \in A$ and $m_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ span V,
(v) for any $\alpha, \beta \in A$ the fields $a^\alpha(z)$ and $a^\beta(z)$ mutually local.

Let V be a vertex algebra. There exists a unique linear map

$$V \to (\operatorname{End} V)[[z, z^{-1}]], \quad a \mapsto Y(a,z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} a_{(n)} z^{-n-1} \tag{5}$$

such that

(i) $Y(a,z)$ is a field on V for any $a \in V$,
(ii) $Y(a,z)$ and $Y(b,z)$ are mutually local for any $a, b \in V$,
(iii) $[T, Y(a,z)] = \partial_z a(z)$ for any $a \in V$,
(iv) $Y(a,z)\mathbf{1} \in V[[z]]$ and $\lim_{z\to 0} Y(a,z)\mathbf{1} = a$ for any $a \in V$,
(v) $Y(a^{\alpha}_{(-1)}\mathbf{1}, z) = a^{\alpha}(z)$ for any generating filed $a^{\alpha}(z)$.

The map $Y(?, z)$ is called the *state-field correspondence.*

A *Hamiltonian* of a vertex algebra V is a diagonalizable operator $H \in \operatorname{End} V$ such that

$$[H, Y(a,z)] = Y(Ha, z) + z\partial_z Y(a,z) \quad \text{for all } a \in V.$$

A vertex algebra with a Hamiltonian H is called graded. If a is a eigenvector of H its eigenvalue is called the *conformal weight* of a and denoted by Δ_a. Let[5]

$$V_{\Delta} = \{a \in V; Ha = \Delta a\},$$

so that $V = \bigoplus_{\Delta\in\mathbb{C}} V_{\Delta}$.

2.3. **Twisted Representations of Vertex Algebras.** Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$. An *N-twisted field* $a(z)$ on a vector space M is a formal power series in $z^{1/N}$, $z^{-1/N}$ of the form

$$a(z) = \sum_{n\in\frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z}} a_{(n)} z^{-n-1}, \quad a_{(n)} \in \operatorname{End}(M) \tag{6}$$

such that $a_{(n)}m = 0$ for all $m \in M$ and $n \gg 0$.

Two N-twisted fields $a(z)$ and $b(z)$ on M are called mutually local if they satisfy (2) in $(\operatorname{End} M)[[z^{1/N}, z^{-1/N}, w^{1/N}, w^{-1/N}]]$.

Let V be a vertex algebra, σ an automorphism of V of order N. A *σ-twisted representation* of V is a vector space M equipped with a linear map from V to the space of N-twisted fields on M,

$$V \to (\operatorname{End} M)[[z^{\frac{1}{N}}, z^{-\frac{1}{N}}]], \quad a \mapsto Y^M(a,z) = \sum_{n\in\frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z}} a^M_{(n)} z^{-n-1},$$

such that

$$Y^M(\sigma a, z) = Y^M(a, e^{2\pi i} z), \tag{7}$$

$$Y^M(\mathbf{1}, z) = \mathrm{id}_M, \tag{8}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \binom{m}{i} (a_{(r+i)}b)^M_{(m+n-i)} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} (-1)^i \binom{r}{i} \left(a^M_{(m+r-i)} b^M_{(n+i)} - (-1)^r b^M_{(n+r-i)} a^M_{(m+i)} \right) \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

---

[5] This differs from the notation in [A3].

for $a \in V_{\bar{j}}$, $b \in V$, $m \in \frac{j}{N} + \mathbb{Z}$, $n \in \frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z}$, $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, where

$$V_{\bar{j}} = \{\sigma(a) = (e^{\frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{N}})^{-j} a\}. \tag{10}$$

The relation (9) is called the *twisted Borcherds identity.*

By setting $r = 0$ in (9), one obtains

$$[a^M_{(m)}, b^M_{(n)}] = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \binom{m}{i} (a_{(i)}b)^M_{(m+n-i)}, \tag{11}$$

or equivalently,

$$[Y^M(a,z), Y^M(b,w)] = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} Y^M(a_{(i)}b, w) \partial_w^{[i]} \delta_j(z-w) \tag{12}$$

for $a \in V_{\bar{j}}$, where

$$\delta_j(z-w) = z^{-j/N} w^{j/N} \delta(z-w) = \sum_{n \in j/N + \mathbb{Z}} w^n z^{-n-1}.$$

In particular $Y^M(a,z)$ and $Y^M(b,z)$ are mutually local.

The relation (11) gives [Li]

$$\begin{aligned} &Y^M(a_{(n)}b, w) \\ &= \operatorname{Res}_z \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{-j/N}{k} z^{j/N-k} w^{-j/N} (z-w)^{n+k} [Y^M(a,z), Y^M(b,w)] \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

for all $n \geq 0$. The sum in (13) is finite because of the locality. (In reality (13) holds for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ in an appropriate sense, see [Li]).

Set $b = \mathbf{1}$, $r = -2$, $n = 0$ in (9). It follows that

$$Y^M(Ta, z) = \partial_z Y^M(a,z). \tag{14}$$

Suppose that V is graded by a Hamiltonian H. A σ-twisted representation M is called *graded* if there exists an diagonalizable operator H^M on M such that

$$[H^M, a^M_{(n)}] = (Ta)^M_{(n+1)} + (Ha)_{(n)} \tag{15}$$

for all $a \in V$ and $n \in \frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z}$. If a is homogeneous, (15) is equivalent to

$$[H^M, a^M_{(n)}] = -(n - \Delta_a + 1) a^M_{(n)}. \tag{16}$$

We set

$$M_d = \{m \in M; H^M m = dm\} \tag{17}$$

for $d \in \mathbb{C}$.

A *positive energy σ-twisted representation*[6] of V is a graded σ-twisted representation M of V such that there exist a finite set $d_1, \dots, d_r \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $M_d = 0$ unless $d \in \bigcup_i d_i + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Let $V\text{-}\mathfrak{Mod}_\sigma$ be the category of positive energy σ-twisted representations of V, whose morphisms are graded homomorphisms of σ-twisted representations.

An *ordinary* σ-twisted representation of V is a positive energy σ-twisted representation of V such that $\dim M_d < \infty$ for all d. Let $V\text{-}\mathfrak{mod}_\sigma$ be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{V}\text{-}\mathfrak{Mod}_\sigma$ consisting of ordinary σ-twisted representations.

---

[6] A positive energy representations is also called an admissible representation in the literature.

When $\sigma = \mathrm{id}_V$, σ-twisted representations are just usual (non-twisted) representations. We set $V\text{-}\mathfrak{Mod} = V\text{-}\mathfrak{Mod}_{\mathrm{id}_V}$ and $V\text{-}\mathfrak{mod} = V\text{-}\mathfrak{mod}_{\mathrm{id}_V}$.

2.4. **H-Twisted Zhu Algebras.** Let V be a vertex algebra graded by a Hamiltonian H. Assume that $V_\Delta \neq 0$ unless $\Delta \in \frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z}$. Then $\sigma_H := e^{2\pi i \operatorname{ad} H} : V \to V$ is an automorphism of order at most N.

If M is a graded σ_H-twisted representations of V then the number $n - \Delta_a + 1$ in (16) is always an integer. Set $a_n^M = a^M_{(n+\Delta_a-1)}$, so that

$$Y^M(a,z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} a_n^M z^{-n-\Delta_a}, \quad [H^M, a_n^M] = -n a_n^M. \tag{18}$$

Define the H-twisted Zhu algebra [Z, DSK] $\mathrm{Zh}_H V$ by

$$\mathrm{Zh}_H V = V/V\circ V, \tag{19}$$

where $V\circ V$ is the span of the vectors

$$a\circ b := \sum_{r\geq 0}\binom{\Delta_a}{r} a_{(r-2)}b$$

with homogeneous vectors $a, b \in V$. The $\mathrm{Zh}_H V$ is an associative algebra with the multiplication

$$a * b = \sum_{r\geq 0}\binom{\Delta_a}{r} a_{(r-1)}b.$$

Let M be an object of $V\text{-}\mathfrak{Mod}_{\sigma_H}$. Denote by V_{top} the sum of homogeneous subspace V_d such that $V_{d'} = 0$ for all $d' \in d - \mathbb{N}$. Then V_{top} is naturally a module over $\mathrm{Zh}_H V$ by the following action:

$$(a + V\circ V)m = a^M_{(\Delta_a-1)}m = a_0^M m. \tag{20}$$

Theorem 2.4.1 ([Z, DSK]). *The map $M \mapsto M_{\mathrm{top}}$ gives a bijective correspondence between simple objects of $V\text{-}\mathfrak{Mod}_{\sigma_H}$ and irreducible $\mathrm{Zh}_H V$-modules.*

The M is said to be *almost highest weight* if (1) $M_{\mathrm{top}} = M_d$ for some d and (2) M is generated by M_{top} over V. The M is said be *almost co-highest weight* if (1) $M_{\mathrm{top}} = M_d$ for some d and (2) M contains no graded submodule intersecting M_{top} trivially. The M is called *almost irreducible* [DSK] if M is both almost highest weight and almost co-highest weight. Clearly, an almost irreducible module is simple if and only if M_{top} is irreducible over $\mathrm{Zh}_H V$.

3. Affine W-algebras

3.1. **The Setting.** Let $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ be a complex reductive Lie algebra, f a nilpotent element of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$. The corresponding affine W-algebra $\mathcal{W}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ at the level $k \in \mathbb{C}$ is defined by the method of the quantum BRST reduction. This method was discovered by Feigin and Frenkel [FF] who used it to define the W-algebra $\mathcal{W}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ associated with the principal nilpotent elements f. The most general definition of $\mathcal{W}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ was given by Kac, Roan and Wakimoto [KRW], and the definition of $\mathcal{W}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ given in [KRW, KW3] involves another data, namely a *good grading* of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ for f. However, thanks to the results [BG] of Brundan and Goodwin, the definition of $\mathcal{W}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ does *not* depend on the choice of a good grading for f.

Throughout this paper *we assume that f admits a good even grading* unless otherwise stated, that is, there exists a $\mathbb{Z}$-grading

$$\bar{\mathfrak{g}} = \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_j \tag{21}$$

of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ such that $\mathfrak{z}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}) \subset \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_0$, $f \in \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{-1}$, and $\operatorname{ad} f : \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\leq 0} \to \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0}$ is surjective, where $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\leq 0} = \bigoplus_{j \leq 0} \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_j$ and $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0} = \bigoplus_{j<0} \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_j$. The last condition is equivalent to that $\operatorname{ad} f : \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{>0} \to \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\geq 0}$ is injective, where $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\geq 0} = \bigoplus_{j \geq 0} \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_j$ and $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{>0} = \bigoplus_{j>0} \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_j$. By definition there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \to \bar{\mathfrak{g}}^f \hookrightarrow \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\leq 0} \overset{\operatorname{ad} f}{\to} \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0} \to 0, \tag{22}$$

where $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^f$ is the centralizer of f in $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$.

One can find a $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triple (e, h, f) in $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ such that $e \in \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_1$, $h \in \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_0$, see Lemma 1.1 of [EK]. Below *we write h_0 for h.* Also, there exists a semisimple element $x_0 \in \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_0$ that defines the $\mathbb{Z}$-grading, i.e.,

$$\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_j = \{a \in \bar{\mathfrak{g}}; [x_0, a] = ja\}. \tag{23}$$

We fix a non-degenerate invariant inner product $(\,|\,)$ on $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ such that $(e|f) = 1$. Set

$$\bar{\chi} = \bar{\chi}_f = (f|?) \in \bar{\mathfrak{g}}^*, \tag{24}$$

and let $\mathbb{O}_{\bar{\chi}}$ be the coadjoint orbit of $\bar{\chi}$,

$$d_{\bar{\chi}} = \frac{1}{2} \dim \mathbb{O}_{\bar{\chi}}. \tag{25}$$

By (22) one has

$$\dim \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0} = \frac{1}{2}(\dim \bar{\mathfrak{g}} - \dim \bar{\mathfrak{g}}^f) = d_{\bar{\chi}}. \tag{26}$$

3.2. **Root Data.** Let $\bar{\mathfrak{h}}$ be a Cartan subalgebra of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_0$ containing x_0 and h_0 (see above). Then $\bar{\mathfrak{h}}$ is a Cartan subalgebra of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$. Let $\bar{\Delta}$ be the set of roots of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$. One has

$$\bar{\Delta} = \sqcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} \bar{\Delta}_j,$$

where $\bar{\Delta}_j = \{\alpha \in \bar{\Delta}; \langle \alpha, x_0 \rangle = j\}$. The $\bar{\Delta}_0$ is the set of roots of the reductive subalgebra $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_0$. Let $\bar{\Delta}_{0,+}$ be a set of positive roots of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_0$, $\bar{\Delta}_{0,-} = -\bar{\Delta}_{0,+}$. Then $\bar{\Delta}_+ = \bar{\Delta}_{0,+} \sqcup \bar{\Delta}_{>0}$ is a set of positive roots of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$, where $\bar{\Delta}_{>0} = \sqcup_{j>0} \bar{\Delta}_j$. Likewise, $\bar{\Delta}_- = \bar{\Delta}_{0,-} \sqcup \bar{\Delta}_{<0}$ is a set of negative roots of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$, where $\bar{\Delta}_{<0} = \sqcup_{j<0} \bar{\Delta}_j$. Let $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_0 = \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_{0,-} \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{h}} \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_{0,+}$ and $\bar{\mathfrak{g}} = \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_- \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{h}} \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_+$ be the corresponding triangular decompositions of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_0$ and $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$, respectively.

Let $\bar{\rho}$ be the half sum of positive roots of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$.

Let $\bar{Q}$, $\bar{Q}^\vee$, $\bar{P}$ and $\bar{P}^\vee$ be the root lattice, the coroot lattice, the weight lattice and the coweight lattice of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$, respectively. Denote by $\bar{W}$ the Weyl group of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$.

We fix an anti-Lie algebra involution $\bar{\mathfrak{g}} \ni x \mapsto x^t \in \bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ such that $e^t = f$ and $h^t = h$ (for all $h \in \bar{\mathfrak{h}}$).

Set $\bar{I} = \{1, \ldots, \operatorname{rank} \bar{\mathfrak{g}}\}$. Let $\{J_a; a \in \bar{I} \sqcup \bar{\Delta}_\pm\}$ be a basis of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ such that J_α with $\alpha \in \bar{\Delta}$ is a root vector of root α and $\{J_i; i \in \bar{I}\}$ is a basis of $\bar{\mathfrak{h}}$. Denote by $c_{a,b}^d$ the corresponding structure constant.

3.3. **Kac-Moody Lie Algebras.** Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be the Kac-Moody affinization of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$:

$$\mathfrak{g} = \bar{\mathfrak{g}}[t,t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}K \oplus \mathbb{C}D, \tag{27}$$

where $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}[t,t^{-1}] = \bar{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t,t^{-1}]$. The commutation relations are given by

$$[X(m),Y(n)] = [X,Y](m+n) + m\delta_{m+n,0}(X|Y)K, \tag{28}$$

$$[D,X(m)] = mX(m), \quad [K,\mathfrak{g}] = 0 \tag{29}$$

for $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$, $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $X(m) = X \otimes t^n$. The subalgebra $\bar{\mathfrak{g}} \otimes \mathbb{C} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ is naturally identified with $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$.

The form $(\ | \ )$ is extended from $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ to the invariant symmetric bilinear of $\mathfrak{g}$ as follows:

$$(X(m)|Y(n)) = (X|Y)\delta_{m+n,0}, \quad (D|K) = 1,$$
$$(X(m)|D) = (X(m)|K) = (D|D) = (K|K) = 0.$$

We fix the triangular decomposition $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}_- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+$ as usual:

$$\mathfrak{h} = \bar{\mathfrak{h}} \oplus \mathbb{C}K \oplus \mathbb{C}D, \tag{30}$$

$$\mathfrak{n}_- = \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_-[t^{-1}] \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{h}}[t^{-1}]t^{-1} \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_+[t^{-1}]t^{-1}, \tag{31}$$

$$\mathfrak{n}_+ = \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_-[t]t \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{h}}[t]t \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{n}}_+[t]. \tag{32}$$

Let $\mathfrak{h}^* = \bar{\mathfrak{h}}^* \oplus \mathbb{C}\Lambda_0 \oplus \mathbb{C}\delta$ be the dual of $\mathfrak{h}$. Here, Λ_0 and δ are dual elements of K and D, respectively. For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, the number $\langle \lambda, K \rangle$ is called the *level of* λ.

Let $\bar{\lambda}$ be the restriction of $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ to $\bar{\mathfrak{h}}^*$. We refer to $\bar{\lambda}$ as the *classical part* of λ.

Let Δ be the set of roots of $\mathfrak{g}$, Δ_+ the set of positive roots, $\Delta_- = -\Delta_+$. Then, $\Delta = \Delta^{\mathrm{re}} \sqcup \Delta^{\mathrm{im}}$, where Δ^{re} is the set of real roots and Δ^{im} is the set of imaginary roots. Let $\Delta^{\mathrm{re}}_{\pm} = \Delta^{\mathrm{re}} \cap \Delta_{\pm}$ and $\Delta^{\mathrm{im}}_{\pm} = \Delta^{\mathrm{im}} \cap \Delta_{\pm}$. One has

$$\Delta^{\mathrm{re}}_+ = \{\alpha + n\delta; \alpha \in \bar{\Delta}_+,\ n \geq 0\} \sqcup \{-\alpha + n\delta; \alpha \in \bar{\Delta}_+,\ n \geq 1\}.$$

Let Q be the root lattice, $Q_+ = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_+} \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\alpha \subset Q$. We define a partial ordering $\mu \leq \lambda$ on $\mathfrak{h}^*$ by $\lambda - \mu \in Q_+$.

Let $W \subset GL(\mathfrak{h}^*)$ be the Weyl group of $\mathfrak{g}$ generated by the reflections s_α with $\alpha \in \Delta^{\mathrm{re}}$, where $s_\alpha(\lambda) = \lambda - \langle \lambda, \alpha^\vee \rangle \alpha$ for $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. The dot action of W on $\mathfrak{h}^*$ is defined by $w \circ \lambda = w(\lambda + \rho) - \rho$, where $\rho = \bar{\rho} + h^\vee \Lambda_0 \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ and $h^\vee$ is the dual Coxeter number of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$.

For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$, let

$$\Delta(\lambda) = \{\alpha \in \Delta^{\mathrm{re}}; \langle \lambda + \rho, \alpha^\vee \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}\}, \tag{33}$$

$$\Delta_+(\lambda) = \Delta(\lambda) \cap \Delta_+, \tag{34}$$

$$W(\lambda) = \langle s_\alpha; \alpha \in \Delta(\lambda) \rangle \subset W. \tag{35}$$

One knows that $W(\lambda)$ is a Coxeter subgroup of W, and $W(\lambda)$ is called the *integral Weyl group* of $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. Let $\ell_\lambda : W(\lambda) \to \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ be its length function.

For an $\mathfrak{h}$-module M let M^λ be the weight space of weight $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$:

$$M^\lambda = \{m \in M; am = \lambda(a)m \ \forall a \in \mathfrak{h}\}.$$

We say M admits a weight space decomposition if $M = \bigoplus_\lambda M^\lambda$ and $\dim M^\lambda < \infty$ for all λ. In this case we define the graded dual M^* of M by

$$M^* = \bigoplus_{\lambda} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(M^\lambda, \mathbb{C}) \subset \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(M, \mathbb{C}). \tag{36}$$

Also, we set[7]

$$M_d = \{m \in M; -Dm = dm\}, \tag{37}$$

$$\mathsf{D}(M) = \bigoplus_{d\in\mathbb{C}} \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(M_d, \mathbb{C}) \tag{38}$$

for a semisimple $\mathbb{C}D$-module M. Note that if M is a $\mathfrak{g}$-module then M_d is a $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$-submodule of M for any d.

Lemma 3.3.1. *Let M be a $\mathfrak{h}$-module that admits a weight space decomposition. Suppose that M_d is finite-dimensional for all d. Then $\mathsf{D}(M) = M^*$.*

3.4. **Universal Affine Vertex Algebras.** For $k \in \mathbb{C}$ define the $\mathfrak{g}$-module $V^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}})$ by

$$V^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}) = U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}[t] \oplus \mathbb{C}K \oplus \mathbb{C}D)} \mathbb{C}_k, \tag{39}$$

where $\mathbb{C}_k$ is the one-dimensional representation of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}[t] \oplus \mathbb{C}K \oplus \mathbb{C}D$ on which $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}[t] \oplus \mathbb{C}D$ acts trivially and K acts as the multiplication by k.

Define a field $J(z)$ on $V^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}})$ for $J \in \bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ by

$$J(z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}} J(n) z^{-n-1}. \tag{40}$$

There is a unique vertex algebra structure on $V^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}})$ such that $\mathbf{1} = 1 \otimes 1 \in V^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}})$ is the vacuum vector and $\{J(z); J \in \bar{\mathfrak{g}}\}$ is a set of generating fields. The vertex algebra $V^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}})$ is called the *universal affine vertex algebra* associated with $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ at level k.

3.5. **Clifford Vertex Algebras.** Set

$$L\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{>0} = \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{>0} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}], \quad L\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0} = \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]. \tag{41}$$

They are nilpotent subalgebras of $\mathfrak{g}$.

Let $\mathcal{C}l$ be the Clifford algebra associated with $L\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0} \oplus L\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{>0}$ and the restriction of $(\ |\ )$ to $L\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0} \oplus L\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{>0}$. The superalgebra $\mathcal{C}l$ has the following generators and relations:

generators: $\psi_\alpha(n)$ $\qquad (\alpha \in \bar{\Delta}_{\neq 0}, n \in \mathbb{Z})$,

relations: $\psi_\alpha(n)$ is odd,

$$[\psi_\alpha(m), \psi_\beta(n)] = \delta_{\alpha+\beta,0}\delta_{m+n,0} \qquad (\alpha, \beta \in \bar{\Delta}_{\neq 0}, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}).$$

Here $\bar{\Delta}_{\neq 0} = \bar{\Delta}_{<0} \sqcup \bar{\Delta}_{>0}$.

The algebra $\mathcal{C}l$ contains the Grassmann algebras $\bigwedge(L\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0})$ and $\bigwedge(L\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{>0})$ as its subalgebras; $\bigwedge(L\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0}) = \langle \psi_\alpha(n); \alpha \in \bar{\Delta}_{<0}, n \in \mathbb{Z}\rangle$, $\bigwedge(L\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{>0}) = \langle \psi_\alpha(n); \alpha \in \bar{\Delta}_{>0}, n \in \mathbb{Z}\rangle$. One has

$$\mathcal{C}l = \bigwedge(L\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{>0}) \otimes \bigwedge(L\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0}) \tag{42}$$

as linear spaces.

In view of (42), the adjoint action of $\mathfrak{h}$ on $L\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0} \oplus L\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{>0}$ induces an action of $\mathfrak{h}$ on $\mathcal{C}l$: $\mathcal{C}l = \bigoplus_{\lambda\in Q} \mathcal{C}l^\lambda$.

---

[7] This differs from the notation in [A3].

Let $\bigwedge^{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet}(L\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{>0})$ be the irreducible representation of $\mathcal{C}l$ generated by the vector $\mathbf{1}$ such that

$$\psi_\alpha(n)\mathbf{1} = 0 \quad \text{if } \alpha + n\delta \in \Delta_+^{\mathrm{re}}. \tag{43}$$

Then $\bigwedge^{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet}(L\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{>0}) = \bigwedge(L\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0}\cap\mathfrak{n}_-)\otimes\bigwedge(L\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{>0}\cap\mathfrak{n}_-)$ as linear spaces. We regard $\bigwedge^{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet}(L\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{>0})$ as an $\mathfrak{h}$-module under this identification:

$$\bigwedge^{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet}(L\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{>0}) = \bigoplus_{\lambda\in -Q_+}\bigwedge^{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet}(L\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{>0})^\lambda.$$

The space $\bigwedge^{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet}(L\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{>0})$ is $\mathbb{Z}$-graded by *charge*:

$$\bigwedge^{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet}(L\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{>0}) = \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}\bigwedge^{\frac{\infty}{2}+i}(L\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{>0}). \tag{44}$$

The charge of $\mathbf{1}$, $\psi_\alpha(n)$ and $\psi_{-\alpha}(n)$ with $\alpha\in\bar{\Delta}_{>0}$ and $n\in\mathbb{Z}$ are 0, -1 and 1, respectively. The $\mathcal{C}l$-module $\bigwedge^{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet}(L\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{>0})$ is often called the *space of semi-infinite forms* on $L\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{>0}$.

There is a unique vertex (super)algebra structure on $\bigwedge^{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet}(L\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{>0})$ such that $\mathbf{1}$ is the vacuum vector, and

$$\psi_\alpha(z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\psi_\alpha(n)z^{-n-1} \quad \text{with } \alpha\in\bar{\Delta}_{>0}, \tag{45}$$

$$\psi_\alpha(z) = \sum_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}\psi_\alpha(n)z^{-n} \quad \text{with } \alpha\in\bar{\Delta}_{<0} \tag{46}$$

are generating fields. The vertex algebra $\bigwedge^{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet}(L\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{>0})$ is also called the *Clifford vertex algebra* associated with $L\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{>0}$.

3.6. **The W-Algebra $\mathcal{W}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$.** Because both $V^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}})$ and $\bigwedge^{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet}(L\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{>0})$ are vertex algebras, the tensor product

$$\mathcal{C}^\bullet = V^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}})\otimes\bigwedge^{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet}(L\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{>0}) \tag{47}$$

is also a vertex algebra. Set $\mathcal{C}^i = V^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}})\otimes\bigwedge^{\frac{\infty}{2}+i}(L\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{>0})$, so that

$$\mathcal{C}^\bullet = \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}\mathcal{C}^i. \tag{48}$$

Let $Q(z)$ be the odd field on $\mathcal{C}^\bullet$ defined by

$$Q(z) = Q^{\mathrm{st}}(z) + \chi(z), \tag{49}$$

where

$$Q^{\mathrm{st}}(z) = \sum_{\alpha\in\bar{\Delta}_{>0}} J_\alpha(z)\psi_{-\alpha}(z) - \frac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma\in\bar{\Delta}_{>0}} c_{\alpha,\beta}^{\gamma}\psi_{-\alpha}(z)\psi_{-\beta}(z)\psi_\gamma(z),$$
$$\chi(z) = \sum_{\alpha\in\bar{\Delta}_{>0}}\bar{\chi}(J_\alpha)\psi_{-\alpha}(z)$$

($\bar{\chi}$ is defined in (24)). One has

$$[Q(z), Q(w)] = 0, \tag{50}$$

and therefore,

$$Q_{(0)}^2 = 0 \tag{51}$$

because $Q(z)$ is odd. (Recall that $Q_{(0)} = \mathrm{Res}_z\, Q(z)$, see §2.1.)

Since $Q_{(0)}\mathcal{C}^i \subset \mathcal{C}^{i+1}$, $(\mathcal{C}^\bullet, Q_{(0)})$ is a cochain complex.

Definition 3.6.1. *The universal affine W-algebra $\mathcal{W}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ associate with $(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ at level k is the zeroth cohomology of the complex $(\mathcal{C}^\bullet, Q_{(0)})$:*

$$\mathcal{W}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f) = H^0(\mathcal{C}^\bullet, Q_{(0)}). \tag{52}$$

The W-algebra $\mathcal{W}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ inherits the vertex algebra structure from $\mathcal{C}^\bullet$.

3.7. The Hamiltonian of $\mathcal{W}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$.

Set

$$H = -D - \frac{1}{2}h_0, \tag{53}$$

where h_0 is the element in the $\mathfrak{sl}_2$-triple $\{e, h_0, f\}$ fixed in §3.1. The right-hand-side is considered as an element of $\mathfrak{h}$ which acts diagonally on the complex $\mathcal{C}^\bullet = V^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes \bigwedge^{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet}(L\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{>0})$.

One knows that H defines a Hamiltonian on $\mathcal{C}^\bullet$ (cf. §4.9 of [K2]).

Lemma 3.7.1. *One has $[H, Q_{(0)}] = 0$,*

Proof. Obviously $[H, Q^{\mathrm{st}}_{(0)}] = 0$. Also,

$$\alpha(h_0) = 2 \text{ for all } \alpha \text{ such that } \bar{\chi}(J_\alpha) \neq 0. \tag{54}$$

This gives $[H, \chi_{(0)}] = 0$. □

From Lemma 3.7.1 it follows that H defines a Hamiltonian of $\mathcal{W}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$. One has

$$\mathcal{W}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f) = \bigoplus_{\Delta \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{W}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)_\Delta,$$

$$\mathcal{W}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)_\Delta = \{a \in \mathcal{W}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f); Ha = \Delta a\}. \tag{55}$$

3.8. Generating Fields of $\mathcal{W}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$.

Set

$$\widehat{J}_a(z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \widehat{J}_a(n) z^{-n-1} = J_a(z) - \sum_{\beta, \gamma \in \Delta_{>0}} c^{\gamma}_{\alpha,\beta} :\psi_{-\beta}(z)\psi_\gamma(z): \tag{56}$$

for $a \in \bar{I} \sqcup \bar{\Delta}$. One has [KW3, (2.5)] on $\mathcal{C}^\bullet$

$$\begin{aligned}[t] &[\widehat{J}_a(m), \widehat{J}_b(n)] \\ &\quad = \sum_d c^d_{a,b} \widehat{J}_d(m+n) + \left((k + h^\vee)(a|b) - \frac{1}{2}\kappa_{\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_0}(a, b)\right) m\delta_{m+n,0}\, \mathrm{id}, \end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

$$[\widehat{J}_a(m), \psi_\alpha(n)] = \sum_d c^\beta_{a,\alpha} \psi_\beta(m+n) \tag{58}$$

provided that either $a, b \in \bar{\Delta}_{\geq 0} \sqcup \bar{I}$ and $\alpha \in \bar{\Delta}_{>0}$, or $a, b \in \bar{\Delta}_{\leq 0} \sqcup \bar{I}$ and $\alpha \in \bar{\Delta}_{<0}$, where $\kappa_{\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_0}(a, b)$ is the Killing form of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_0$.

Let $\mathcal{C}^\bullet_+$ be the vertex subalgebra of $\mathcal{C}^\bullet$ generated by the fields $\widehat{J}_a(z)$ and $\psi_\beta(z)$ with $a \in \bar{I} \sqcup \bar{\Delta}_{\leq 0}$ and $\beta \in \bar{\Delta}_{<0}$. By (57) and (58), $\mathcal{C}^\bullet_+$ is spanned by the vectors

$$\widehat{J}_{a_1}(m_1) \dots \widehat{J}_{a_r}(m_r) \psi_{\beta_1}(n_1) \dots \psi_{\beta_s}(n_s) \mathbf{1}$$

with $a_i \in \bar{I} \sqcup \bar{\Delta}_{\leq 0}$, $\beta_i \in \bar{\Delta}_{<0}$, $m_i, n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Similarly let $\mathcal{C}_{-}^{\bullet}$ be the vertex subalgebra of $\mathcal{C}^{\bullet}$ generated by the fields $\widehat{J}_{\alpha}(z)$ and $\psi_{\beta}(z)$ with $\alpha, \beta \in \bar{\Delta}_{>0}$. Then $\mathcal{C}_{-}^{\bullet}$ is spanned by the vectors

$$\widehat{J}_{\alpha_1}(m_1)\dots \widehat{J}_{\alpha_r}(m_r)\psi_{\beta_1}(n_1)\dots\psi_{\beta_s}(n_s)\mathbf{1}$$

with $a_i \in \bar{\Delta}_{>0}$, $\beta_i \in \bar{\Delta}_{>0}$, $m_i, n_i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

One has the linear isomorphism

$$\mathcal{C}^{\bullet} \cong \mathcal{C}_{-}^{\bullet} \otimes \mathcal{C}_{+}^{\bullet}. \tag{59}$$

Moreover it was shown [KW3] (cf. [dBT2, FBZ]) that both $\mathcal{C}_{\pm}^{\bullet}$ are subcomplexes of $\mathcal{C}^{\bullet}$, and that

$$H^i(\mathcal{C}_{-}^{\bullet}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{C} & (i=0) \\ 0 & (i \neq 0) \end{cases}.$$

Therefore by the Künneth theorem

$$H^{\bullet}(\mathcal{C}^{\bullet}) = H^{\bullet}(\mathcal{C}_{+}^{\bullet}). \tag{60}$$

It follows that we may identify $\mathcal{W}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ with the vertex subalgebra $H^0(\mathcal{C}_{+}^{\bullet})$ of $\mathcal{C}^{\bullet}$ (Note that the cohomological gradation takes only non-negative values on $\mathcal{C}_{+}^{\bullet}$.):

$$\mathcal{W}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f) = H^0(\mathcal{C}_{+}^{\bullet}) \subset \mathcal{C}^{\bullet}. \tag{61}$$

Let $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^f_{\mathrm{aff}} = \bar{\mathfrak{g}}^f \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$ be the central extension of the Lie algebra $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^f \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$ with respect to the 2-cocycle ϕ_k, defined by $\phi_k(a,b) = (k+h^{\vee})(a|b) - \frac{1}{2}\kappa_{\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_0}(a,b)$. Set

$$V^{\phi_k}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^f) = U(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^f_{\mathrm{aff}}) \otimes_{U(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^f \otimes \mathbb{C}[t] \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1})} \mathbb{C}$$

where $\mathbb{C}$ is the $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^f \otimes \mathbb{C}[t] \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbf{1}$-module on which $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^f \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]$ acts trivially and $\mathbf{1}$ acts as 1.

By (57) one can regard $V^{\phi_k}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^f)$ as a vertex subalgebra of $V^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}})$.

Theorem 3.8.1 ([KW3]). *For any $k \in \mathbb{C}$ one has the following.*

(i) *It holds that $H^i(\mathcal{C}_{+}^{\bullet}) = 0$ for all $i \neq 0$. Therefore $H^i(\mathcal{C}^{\bullet}) = 0$ for all $i \neq 0$.*

(ii) *There exists an exhaustive, separated filtration $\{F_p \mathcal{W}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)\}$ of the vertex algebra $\mathcal{W}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ such that*

$$\operatorname{gr}^F \mathcal{W}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f) \cong V^{\phi_k}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^f)$$

as graded vertex algebras.

Remark 3.8.2. The filtration in Theorem 3.8.1 arises from the spectral sequence associated with the filtration of $\mathcal{C}_{+}^{\bullet}$ defined by

$$F_p \mathcal{C}_{+}^{n} = \bigoplus_{\langle \lambda, x_0 \rangle \geq p-n} (\mathcal{C}_{+}^{n})^{\lambda}$$

(cf. §4 of [A3]).

Because $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^f$ is preserved by the adjoint action of x_0 and h_0, there exists a basis $\{u_j; j = 1, \dots, \dim \bar{\mathfrak{g}}^f\}$ of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^f$ consisting of simultaneous eigenvectors of $\operatorname{ad} x_0$ and $\operatorname{ad} h_0$. Let $d_j \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ be the half of the eigenvalue of $\operatorname{ad} h_0$ on u_j:

$$[h_0, u_j] = -2d_j u_j. \tag{62}$$

By Theorem 3.8.1 there exist homogeneous elements $\mathsf{W}^{(j)}$ of $\mathcal{W}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ with $j = 1, \ldots, \dim \bar{\mathfrak{g}}^f$ whose symbols are $u_j(-1)\mathbf{1}$, and $\mathcal{W}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ is (strongly [K2]) generated by the fields

$$\mathsf{W}^{(j)}(z) = Y(\mathsf{W}^{(j)}, z) \tag{63}$$

in $\mathcal{C}^\bullet$. The vector $\mathsf{W}^{(j)}$ has the conformal weight $1 + d_j$. Thus it follows that $\mathcal{W}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ is positively graded:

$$\mathcal{W}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f) = \bigoplus_{\Delta \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \mathcal{W}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)_\Delta, \quad \mathcal{W}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)_0 = \mathbb{C}1. \tag{64}$$

4. Ramond Twisted Representation of Affine W-Algebras

4.1. **Ramond Twisted Representations of $\mathcal{W}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$.** Let $\sigma_R : \mathcal{C}^\bullet \to \mathcal{C}^\bullet$ be the automorphism of order ≤ 2 defined by

$$\sigma_R = e^{\pi i \operatorname{ad} h_0}. \tag{65}$$

By (54), σ_R fixes the vector $Q = Q_{(-1)}\mathbf{1}$. Therefore [KW4] σ_R defines an automorphism of $\mathcal{W}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$.

A σ_R-twisted representation of $\mathcal{W}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ is called a *Ramond twisted representation* of $\mathcal{W}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$.

Note that $\sigma_R = \sigma_H$ (see §2.4 and (53)). Therefore Ramond twisted representations are exactly the H-twisted representations.

Remark 4.1.1. If the nilpotent element f is even then σ_R is trivial. In this case a Ramond twisted representations are usual (non-twisted) representations.

Proposition 4.1.2. *Let M be a σ_R-twisted representation of $\mathcal{C}^\bullet$. Then the space*

$$\frac{\ker((Q)^M_{(0)} : M \to M)}{\operatorname{im}((Q)^M_{(0)} : M \to M)}$$

is naturally a Ramond twisted representation of $\mathcal{W}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$.

Proof. By (11) and (51), the square of $(Q)^M_{(0)}$ is equal to zero. Therefore the above space is well-defined. The rest also follows from (11). □

4.2. **σ_R-Twisted Representations of $\mathcal{C}^\bullet$.** Set

$$\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{Dyn}}_j = \{x \in \bar{\mathfrak{g}}; [h_0, x] = 2jx\}.$$

Then $\bar{\mathfrak{g}} = \bigoplus_{j \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} \bar{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{Dyn}}_j$ gives a good grading for f, called the *Dynkin grading* [KRW].

Let

$$\mathfrak{g}^R = \bigoplus_{j \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}} \bar{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{Dyn}}_{\bar{j}} \otimes \mathbb{C}t^j \oplus \mathbb{C}K \oplus \mathbb{C}D$$

be the σ_R-twisted affine Lie algebra [K1], where $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{Dyn}}_{\bar{j}} = \bigoplus_{\substack{r \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z} \\ r \equiv j \pmod{\mathbb{Z}}}} \bar{\mathfrak{g}}^{\mathrm{Dyn}}_r$, and the commutation relations are given by the same formula as $\mathfrak{g}$.

We write $J(n)^R$ for $J \otimes t^n \in \mathfrak{g}^R$. Also, to avoid confusion we write K^R and D^R for K and D in $\mathfrak{g}^R$, respectively.

Lemma 4.2.1. *Let M be a vector space. Defining a σ_R-twisted $V^k(\mathfrak{g})$-module structure on M is equivalent to defining a $\mathfrak{g}^R$-module structure on M of level k such that $J(n)^R m = 0$ for all $m \in M$ and $n \gg 0$.*

Proof. By (12), given a σ_R-twisted module structure on M one has

$$\begin{aligned}(66)\qquad &[Y^M(J(-1)\mathbf{1},z),Y^M(J'(-1)\mathbf{1},w)]\\ &= Y^M([J,J'](-1)\mathbf{1},w)\delta_j(z-w)+k(J|J')\,\mathrm{id}_M\,\partial_w\delta_j(z-w)\end{aligned}$$

for $J \in \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\bar{j}}^{\mathrm{Dyn}}$, $J' \in \bar{\mathfrak{g}}$. It follows that the correspondence $J(n)^R \mapsto (J(-1)\mathbf{1})^M_{(n)}$ define a representation of $\mathfrak{g}^R$ on M of level k with $J(n)^R m = 0$ for $m \in M$ and $n \gg 0$.

Conversely, suppose that we are given a $\mathfrak{g}^R$-module structure on M of level k such that $J(n)^R m = 0$ for $m \in M$ and $n \gg 0$. Define a 2-twisted filed $J(z)^R$ on M by

$$J(z)^R = \sum_{n\in j+\mathbb{Z}} J(n)^R z^{-n-1} \quad \text{for } J \in \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\bar{j}}^{\mathrm{Dyn}}. \tag{67}$$

These fields satisfy the same formula as (66):

$$[J(z)^R, J'(w)^R] = [J,J'](w)^R\delta_j(z-w)+k(J|J')\,\mathrm{id}_M\,\partial_w\delta_j(z-w). \tag{68}$$

for $J \in \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\bar{j}}^{\mathrm{Dyn}}$ and $J' \in \bar{\mathfrak{g}}$.

Let V be a vertex algebra generated by $J(z)^R$ with $J \in \bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ in the space of 2-twisted fields on M in the sense of Li [Li]. By (68) it follows that the correspondence $J(-1)\mathbf{1} \mapsto J(z)^R$ defines a vertex algebra homomorphism from $V^k(\mathfrak{g})$ to V (cf. (13)). Thanks to Proposition 3.17 of [Li], this completes the proof. □

Let $\mathcal{C}l^R$ be the superalgebra generated by the odd fields $\psi_\alpha(n)^R$ ($\alpha \in \bar{\Delta}_{\neq 0}$, $n \in \alpha(h_0)/2+\mathbb{Z}$) with the relations $[\psi_\alpha(m)^R,\psi_\beta(n)^R] = \delta_{m+n,0}\delta_{\alpha+\beta,0}$.

The proof of the following assertion is the same as that of Lemma 4.2.1.

Lemma 4.2.2. *Let M be a $\mathcal{C}l^R$-module such that $\psi_\alpha(n)^R m = 0$ for all $m \in M$, $\alpha \in \bar{\Delta}_{\neq 0}$ and $n \gg 0$. Then the formulas*

$$\begin{aligned}Y^M(\psi_\alpha(-1)\mathbf{1},z) &= \psi_\alpha(z)^R = \sum_{n\in\alpha(h_0)/2+\mathbb{Z}} \psi_\alpha(n)^R z^{-n-1} \quad (\alpha \in \bar{\Delta}_{>0}),\\ Y^M(\psi_\alpha(0)\mathbf{1},z) &= \psi_\alpha(z)^R = \sum_{n\in\alpha(h_0)/2+\mathbb{Z}} \psi_\alpha(n)^R z^{-n} \quad (\alpha \in \bar{\Delta}_{<0})\end{aligned}$$

defines a σ_R-twisted $\bigwedge^{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet}(L\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{>0})$-module structure on M.

Set $U_k(\mathfrak{g}^R) = U(\mathfrak{g}^R)/\langle K - k1\rangle$. Let M be a $U_k(\mathfrak{g}^R)\otimes\mathcal{C}l^R$-module such that $J(n)^R m = \psi_\alpha(n)^R m = 0$ for $n \gg 0$, $m \in M$, $J \in \bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ and $\alpha \in \bar{\Delta}_{\neq 0}$. Then by Lemmas 4.2.1 and 4.2.2, M can be naturally considered as a σ_R-twisted representation of $\mathcal{C}^\bullet$. By Proposition 4.1.2, the space $\ker(Q)^M_{(0)}/\operatorname{im}(Q)^M_{(0)}$ is a Ramond twisted representation of $\mathcal{W}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}},f)$. One has

$$(Q)^M_{(0)} = (Q^{\mathrm{st}})^M_{(0)} + \chi^M_{(0)}, \tag{69}$$

where $(Q^{\mathrm{st}})^M_{(0)}$ and $\chi^M_{(0)}$ are explicitly expressed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}(Q^{\mathrm{st}})^M_{(0)} = & \sum_{\substack{\alpha\in\bar{\Delta}_{>0}\\ n\in\alpha(h_0)/2+\mathbb{Z}}} J_\alpha(n)^M\psi_{-\alpha}(-n)^M \\ & -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\substack{\alpha,\beta,\gamma\in\bar{\Delta}_{>0}\\ k\in\alpha(h_0)/2+\mathbb{Z},l\in\beta(h_0)/2+\mathbb{Z}}} c^\gamma_{\alpha,\beta}\psi_{-\alpha}(-k)^M\psi_{-\beta}(-l)^M\psi_\gamma(k+l)^M, \\ \chi^M_{(0)} = & \sum_{\alpha\in\bar{\Delta}_{>0}} \chi(J_\alpha)\psi_{-\alpha}(1)^M.\end{aligned}$$

4.3. **Identification with Non-Twisted Representations.** The superalgebra $U(\mathfrak{g}^R)\otimes\mathcal{C}l^R$ is isomorphic to $U(\mathfrak{g})\otimes\mathcal{C}l$ [KW5]: the isomorphism is given by:

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{t}_{-\frac{1}{2}h_0} :& J_\alpha(n)^R \mapsto J_\alpha(n+\alpha(h_0)/2) && (\alpha\in\bar{\Delta}),\\ & J_i(n)^R \mapsto J_i(n) && (i\in\bar{I},\ n\neq 0),\\ & J_i(0)^R \mapsto J_i(0)+\frac{1}{2}(h_0|J_i)K \\ & K^R\mapsto K,\\ & D^R\mapsto D-\frac{1}{2}h_0(0),\\ & \psi_\alpha(n)^R\mapsto\psi_\alpha(n+\alpha(h_0)/2) && (\alpha\in\bar{\Delta}_{\neq 0}, n\in\mathbb{Z}),\end{aligned}$$

Set $U_k(\mathfrak{g})=U(\mathfrak{g})/\langle K-k\rangle$ for $k\in\mathbb{C}$. Let $\widehat{w}_0\in\mathrm{Aut}(U_k(\mathfrak{g})\otimes\mathcal{C}l)$ be a lift of the longest element w_0 of the Weyl group $\bar{W}$. Set

$$\widehat{y}_0=\widehat{w}_0\widehat{t}_{-\frac{1}{2}h_0}. \tag{70}$$

Then $\widehat{y}_0$ defines an isomorphism $U_k(\mathfrak{g}^R)\otimes\mathcal{C}l^R\xrightarrow{\sim}U_k(\mathfrak{g})\otimes\mathcal{C}l$.

Let M be a (non-twisted) positive energy representation of $V^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}})$. Then the space $M\otimes\bigwedge^{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet}(L\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{>0})$ can be regarded as a σ_R-twisted representation of $\mathcal{C}l$, by the action

$$u\cdot m=\widehat{y}_0(u)m \tag{71}$$

for $m\in M\otimes\bigwedge^{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet}(L\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{>0})$ and $u\in U_k(\mathfrak{g}^R)\otimes\mathcal{C}l^R$. Note that in this case the differential $(Q)^{M\otimes\bigwedge^{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet}(L\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{>0})}_{(0)}$ is homotopic to

$$\mathbf{Q}_-=\mathbf{Q}^{\mathrm{st}}_-+\chi_-,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{Q}^{\mathrm{st}}_- = & \sum_{\substack{n\in\mathbb{Z}\\ \alpha\in\bar{\Delta}_{<0}}} J_\alpha(-n)\psi_{-\alpha}(n) \\ & -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\substack{k,l\in\mathbb{Z}\\ \alpha,\beta,\gamma\in\Delta_{<0}}} c^\gamma_{\alpha,\beta}\psi_{-\alpha}(-k)\psi_{-\beta}(-l)\psi_\gamma(k+l),\end{aligned} \tag{72}$$

$$\chi_-=\sum_{\alpha\in\bar{\Delta}_{<0}}\chi(J_{-\alpha})\psi_\alpha(0). \tag{73}$$

One has

$$\mathbf{Q}_-(M\otimes\bigwedge\nolimits^{\frac{\infty}{2}+i}(L\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{>0}))\subset M\otimes\bigwedge\nolimits^{\frac{\infty}{2}+i-1}(L\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{>0}).$$

It follows that by Proposition 4.1.2 the homology space

$$H^{\mathrm{BRST}}_{\bullet}(M):=H_{\bullet}(M\otimes\bigwedge\nolimits^{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet}(L\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{>0}),\mathbf{Q}_-)$$

can be considered as a Ramond twisted representation of $\mathcal{W}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}},f)$.

The σ_R-twisted representation $M\otimes\bigwedge^{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet}(L\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{>0})$ of $\mathcal{C}l$ is graded by the Hamiltonian $-D$, which acts on it diagonally. Obviously D commutes with $\mathbf{Q}_-$, and hence $H^{\mathrm{BRST}}_{\bullet}(M)$ is graded by the Hamiltonian $-D$. It follows that we have obtained the functor

$$V^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}})\text{-}\mathfrak{Mod}\to\mathcal{W}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}},f)\text{-}\mathfrak{Mod},\quad M\mapsto H^{\mathrm{BRST}}_0(M). \tag{74}$$

Remark 4.3.1. One has $H^{\mathrm{BRST}}_{\bullet}(M)=H_{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet}(\text{Ł}\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0},M\otimes\mathbb{C}_{\chi_-})$, where the right-hand-side is the Feigin's semi-infinite $L\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0}$-homology [F] with the coefficient in the $L\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0}$-module $M\otimes\mathbb{C}_{\chi_-}$, and χ_- is identified with the character of $L\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0}$ such that $\chi_-(J_{-\alpha}(n))=\delta_{n,0}\bar{\chi}(J_\alpha)$.

4.4. Finite W-algebras as H-twisted Zhu Algebras.

Let $M\in\mathcal{W}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}},f)\text{-}\mathfrak{Mod}_{\sigma_R}$ and suppose that M_{top} is concentrated in one degree: $M_{\mathrm{top}}=M_{d_0}$. Then

$$H^{\mathrm{BRST}}_{\bullet}(M)=\bigoplus_{d\in d_0+\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}H^{\mathrm{BRST}}_{\bullet}(M)_d,$$

and therefore,

$$H^{\mathrm{BRST}}_{\bullet}(M)_{\mathrm{top}}=H^{\mathrm{BRST}}_{\bullet}(M)_{d_0}, \tag{75}$$

provided that $H^{\mathrm{BRST}}_{\bullet}(M)_{d_0}\neq 0$.

In this case $H^{\mathrm{BRST}}_{\bullet}(M)_{\mathrm{top}}$ is easily described as follows: Identify the Grassmann algebra $\bigwedge^{\bullet}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_-)$ of $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_-$ with the subalgebra of $\mathcal{C}l$ generated by $\psi_\alpha(0)$ with $\alpha\in\bar{\Delta}_-$. Then $\bigwedge^{\bullet}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_-)$ is also identified with the subspace $\bigwedge^{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet}(L\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{>0})_{\mathrm{top}}$ of $\bigwedge^{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet}(L\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{>0})$. One has

$$H^{\mathrm{BRST}}_0(M)_{\mathrm{top}}=H_0(M_{\mathrm{top}}\otimes\bigwedge\nolimits^{\bullet}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_-),\mathbf{Q}_-). \tag{76}$$

One sees that the operator $\mathbf{Q}_-$ acts on $M_{\mathrm{top}}\otimes\bigwedge^{\bullet}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_-)$ as

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_-=\sum_{\alpha\in\bar{\Delta}_{<0}}&(J_\alpha(0)-\chi(J_{-\alpha}))\psi_{-\alpha}(0)\\&-\frac{1}{2}\sum_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma\in\bar{\Delta}_{<0}}c^{\gamma}_{\alpha,\beta}\psi_{-\alpha}(0)\psi_{-\beta}(0)\psi_{\gamma}(0).\end{aligned} \tag{77}$$

From this formula it follows that the complex $(M_{\mathrm{top}}\otimes\bigwedge^{\bullet}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_-),\mathbf{Q}_-)$ is identical to the Chevalley-Eilenberg complex which defines the Lie algebra $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0}$-homology $H^{\mathrm{Lie}}_{\bullet}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0},M_{\mathrm{top}}\otimes\mathbb{C}_{\bar{\chi}_-})$ with the coefficient in the $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0}$-module $M\otimes\mathbb{C}_{\bar{\chi}_-}$, where $\mathbb{C}_{\bar{\chi}_-}=U(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0})/\ker\bar{\chi}_-$ and $\bar{\chi}_-$ is the character of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0}$ defined by

$$\chi_-(J_\alpha)=\chi(J_{-\alpha}).$$

Thus one has

$$H^{\mathrm{BRST}}_{\bullet}(M)_{\mathrm{top}}=H^{\mathrm{Lie}}_{\bullet}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_-,M_{\mathrm{top}}\otimes\mathbb{C}_{\chi_-}). \tag{78}$$

This in particular means that $H^{\mathrm{Lie}}_{\bullet}(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_-,M_{\mathrm{top}}\otimes\mathbb{C}_{\chi_-})$ is a module over $\mathrm{Zh}_H(\mathcal{W}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}},f))$.

Recall [DSK] that

$$\mathrm{Zh}_H(\mathcal{W}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)) \cong \mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{fin}}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f), \tag{79}$$

where $\mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{fin}}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ is the *finite W-algebra* associated with $(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$. The finite W-algebra $\mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{fin}}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ may be defined by means of the quantum BRST reduction [KS, D^{3}HK]: Let $\bar{\mathcal{C}}l$ be the Clifford algebra associated with $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0} \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{>0}$ and $(\ |\ )_{|\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0} \oplus \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{>0}}$. We identify $\bar{\mathcal{C}}l$ with the subalgebra of $\mathcal{C}l$ generated by $\psi_\alpha = \psi_\alpha(0)$ with $\bar{\Delta}_{\neq 0}$. One has the subalgebra $U(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes \bar{\mathcal{C}}l$ in $U_k(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes \mathcal{C}l$, and $\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_-$ can be considered as an odd element of $U(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes \bar{\mathcal{C}}l$. One has $(\bar{\mathbf{Q}}_-)^2 = 0$, and thus

$$(\mathrm{ad}\, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_-)^2 = 0.$$

Therefore $(U(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes \bar{\mathcal{C}}l, \mathrm{ad}\, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_-)$ is a chain complex (with respect the grading by charge). The corresponding homology

$$H_\bullet(U(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes \bar{\mathcal{C}}l) = H_\bullet(U(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes \bar{\mathcal{C}}l, \mathrm{ad}\, \bar{\mathbf{Q}}_-) \tag{80}$$

is naturally a $\mathbb{Z}$-graded superalgebra.

Theorem 4.4.1 ([D^{3}HK], cf. Theorem 2.4.2 of [A3])**.**

(i) *It holds that $H_i(U(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes \bar{\mathcal{C}}l) = 0$ for all $i \neq 0$.*

(ii) *There is an algebra isomorphism $H_0(U(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes \bar{\mathcal{C}}l) \cong \mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{fin}}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$.*

For a $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$-module M, $M \otimes \Lambda(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0})$ is naturally a $U(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes \bar{\mathcal{C}}l$-module. Therefore the algebra $H_0(U(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes \bar{\mathcal{C}}l)$ naturally acts on $H^{\mathrm{Lie}}_\bullet(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, M \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\bar{\chi}_-})$. As in the same manner as [A3], it follows that the action of $\mathrm{Zh}_H(\mathcal{W}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f))$ on $H^{\mathrm{BRST}}_\bullet(M)_{\mathrm{top}}$ coincides with the action of $H_0(U(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes \bar{\mathcal{C}}l)$ on the space $H^{\mathrm{Lie}}_\bullet(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, M_{\mathrm{top}} \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\bar{\chi}_-})$, via the isomorphisms (78) and (ii) of Theorem 4.4.1.

5. Representation Theory of Affine W-Algebras via the BRST Cohomology Functor

5.1. **The Vanishing of the Lie Algebra Homology.** Recall the notation from §3.1 and §3.2.

Let $\bar{L}(\bar{\lambda})$ be the irreducible highest weight representation of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ with highest weight $\bar{\lambda} \in \bar{\mathfrak{h}}^*$.

Let $\mathcal{O}_0(\bar{\mathfrak{g}})$ be the full subcategory of the category of finitely generated left $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules consisting of objects M such that (1) $\dim U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_+)m < \infty$ for all $m \in M$, (2) $\bar{\mathfrak{h}}$ acts semisimply on M, (3) M is a direct sum of finite-dimensional $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_0$-modules.

Set

$$\bar{P}_0^+ = \{\bar{\lambda} \in \bar{\mathfrak{h}}^*; \langle \bar{\lambda}, \alpha^\vee \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0} \text{ for all } \alpha \in \bar{\Delta}_{0,+}\}. \tag{81}$$

For $\bar{\lambda} \in \bar{P}_0^+$ put $\bar{M}_0(\bar{\lambda}) = U(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes_{U(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\geq 0})} \bar{E}(\bar{\lambda})$, where $\bar{E}(\bar{\lambda})$ is the irreducible finite-dimensional representation of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_0$ with highest weight $\bar{\lambda}$, considered as a $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\geq 0}$-module on which $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{>0}$ acts trivially. The $\bar{M}_0(\bar{\lambda})$ has $\bar{L}(\bar{\lambda})$ as its unique simple quotient. Every simple object of $\mathcal{O}_0(\bar{\mathfrak{g}})$ is isomorphic to exactly one of the $\bar{L}(\bar{\lambda})$ with $\bar{\lambda} \in \bar{P}_0^+$.

For a finitely generated $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$-module M let $\mathrm{Dim}\, M$ be the Gelfand-Kirillov dimension of M. By (26), one has

$$\mathrm{Dim}\, M \leq d_{\bar{\chi}} \tag{82}$$

for all $M \in \mathcal{O}_0(\bar{\mathfrak{g}})$.

Set

$$H_{\bullet}^{\mathrm{Lie}}(M) = H_{\bullet}^{\mathrm{Lie}}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0}, M \otimes \mathbb{C}_{\bar{\chi}_-}). \tag{83}$$

One sees that $H_0^{\mathrm{Lie}}(M)$ is finite-dimensional for any object M of $\mathcal{O}_0(\bar{\mathfrak{g}})$ as in Lemma 2.5.1 of [A3]. From §4.4 it follows that the correspondence $M \mapsto H_0^{\mathrm{Lie}}(M)$ defines a functor from $\mathcal{O}_0(\bar{\mathfrak{g}})$ to $\mathfrak{Fin}(\mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{fin}}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f))$, the category of finite-dimensional representations of $\mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{fin}}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$.

Theorem 5.1.1 (Matumoto [M])**.**

- (i) *The functor* $H_0^{\mathrm{Lie}}(?) : \mathcal{O}_0(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}) \to \mathfrak{Fin}(\mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{fin}}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f))$ *is exact.*
- (ii) *Let* M *be an object of* $\mathcal{O}_0(\bar{\mathfrak{g}})$. *One has* $H_0^{\mathrm{Lie}}(M) \neq 0$ *if and only if* $\mathrm{Dim}\, M = d_{\chi}$.

Because every projective object of $\mathcal{O}_0(\bar{\mathfrak{g}})$ is free over $U(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0})$, the following assertion follows from (i) of Theorem 5.1.1 in the same manner as Theorem 2.5.6 of [A3].

Theorem 5.1.2. *One has* $H_i^{\mathrm{Lie}}(M) = 0$ *for all* $i \neq 0$ *and for all* $M \in \mathcal{O}_0(\bar{\mathfrak{g}})$.

5.2. **Representations of Finite W-Algebras in Type A.** In [BK], Brundan and Kleshchev gave a complete description of irreducible finite-dimensional representations of $\mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{fin}}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ in type A, as we recall below:

Let $\bar{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$. As usual, we write $\bar{\Delta} = \{\alpha_{i,j}; 1 \leq i, j \leq n\}$, $\bar{\Delta}_+ = \{\alpha_{i,j}; 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$.

Let Y_f be the partition $(p_1 \leq p_2 \leq \cdots \leq p_r)$ of n corresponding to the nilpotent element f. Following [BK], we identify Y_f with the Young diagram with p_i boxes in the ith row, and number the boxes of Y_f by 1, 2, …, n down columns from left to right. The corresponding good grading is defined so that

$$\bar{\Delta}_0 = \{\alpha_{i,j}; \text{the } i\text{th and the } j\text{th boxes belong to the same column}\} \tag{84}$$

(see [EK, BK] for details). Let

$$\bar{\Delta}^f = \{\alpha \in \bar{\Delta}; \alpha(h) = 0 \ \forall h \in \bar{\mathfrak{h}}^f\}, \tag{85}$$

$\bar{\Delta}_+^f = \bar{\Delta}^f \cap \bar{\Delta}_+$. It is easy to see that

$$\bar{\Delta}^f = \{\alpha_{i,j} \in \bar{\Delta}; \text{the } i\text{th and the } j\text{th boxes belong to the same row}\}.$$

Let

$$\bar{W}^f = \{w \in \bar{W}; w(h) = h \ \forall h \in \bar{\mathfrak{h}}^f\}. \tag{86}$$

Then $\bar{W}^f$ is the subgroup of $\bar{W} = \mathfrak{S}_n$ generated by s_α with $\alpha \in \bar{\Delta}^f$.

Theorem 5.2.1 (Brundan and Kleshchev [BK], $\bar{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{C})$)**.**

- (i) *For* $\bar{\lambda} \in \bar{P}_0^+$, $H_0^{\mathrm{Lie}}(\bar{L}(\bar{\lambda})) \neq 0$ *if and only if* $\langle \bar{\lambda} + \bar{\rho}, \alpha^{\vee} \rangle \notin \mathbb{N}$ *for all* $\alpha \in \bar{\Delta}_+^f$. *In this case* $H_0^{\mathrm{Lie}}(\bar{L}(\bar{\lambda}))$ *is irreducible. Further, any irreducible finite-dimensional representation of* $\mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{fin}}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ *arises in this way.*
- (ii) *Nonzero* $H_0^{\mathrm{Lie}}(\bar{L}(\bar{\lambda}))$ *and* $H_0^{\mathrm{Lie}}(\bar{L}(\bar{\mu}))$, *with* $\bar{\lambda}, \bar{\mu} \in \bar{P}_0^+$, *are isomorphic if and only if* $\bar{\mu} + \bar{\rho} \in \bar{W}^f(\bar{\lambda} + \bar{\rho})$.

5.3. **The Category $\mathcal{O}_{0,k}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$-Modules.** Recall the notation from §3.3.

For $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$ let $L(\lambda)$ be the irreducible representation of $\mathfrak{g}$ with highest weight λ.

Let $\mathcal{O}_{0,k}$ be the full subcategory of the category of left $\mathfrak{g}$-modules consisting of objects M such that the following hold:

- K acts as the multiplication by k on M;
- M admits a weight space decomposition;
- there exists a finite subset $\{\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n\}$ of $\mathfrak{h}_k^*$ such that $M = \bigoplus\limits_{\mu \in \bigcup_i \mu_i - Q_+} M^{\mu}$;
- for each $d \in \mathbb{C}$, M_d is an objects of $\mathcal{O}_0(\bar{\mathfrak{g}})$ as $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules.

Set

$$P_{0,k}^{+} = \{\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}_k^*; \bar{\lambda} \in \bar{P}_0^{+},\ \langle \lambda, K \rangle = k\}. \tag{87}$$

For $\lambda \in P_{0,k}^{+}$, let

$$M_0(\lambda) = U(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes_{U(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}[t] \oplus \mathbb{C}K \oplus \mathbb{C}D)} \bar{M}_0(\bar{\lambda}), \tag{88}$$

where $\bar{M}_0(\bar{\lambda})$ is considered as a $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}[t] \oplus \mathbb{C}K \oplus \mathbb{C}D$-module on which $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}[t]t$ acts trivially, and K and D act the multiplication by $\langle \lambda, K \rangle$ and $\langle \lambda, D \rangle$, respectively. The $M_0(\lambda)$ is an object of $\mathcal{O}_{0,k}$, and has $L(\lambda)$ as its unique simple quotient. Every irreducible object of $\mathcal{O}_k$ is isomorphic to exactly one of the $L(\lambda)$ with $\lambda \in P_{0,k}^{+}$.

The correspondence $M \mapsto M^*$ defines a duality functor on $\mathcal{O}_{0,k}$. Here, $\mathfrak{g}$ acts on M^* by

$$(Xf)(v) = f(X^t v) \tag{89}$$

where $X \mapsto X^t$ is the anti-automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$ define by $K^t = K$, $D^t = D$ and $J(n)^t = J^t(-n)$ for $J \in \bar{\mathfrak{g}}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Clearly, $(M^*)^* = M$ for $M \in \mathcal{O}_{0,k}$. It follows that $L(\lambda)^* = L(\lambda)$.

Let $\mathcal{O}_{0,k}^{\triangle}$ be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{O}_{0,k}$ consisting of objects M that admit a finite filtration $M = M_0 \supset M_1 \supset \cdots \supset M_r = 0$ such that each successive subquotient M_i/M_{i+1} is isomorphic to some generalized Verma module $M_0(\lambda_i)$ with $\lambda_i \in P_{0,k}^{+}$. Dually, let $\mathcal{O}_{0,k}^{\bigtriangledown}$ be the full subcategory of $\mathcal{O}_k$ consisting of objects M such that $M^* \in \mathbb{O}bj\mathcal{O}_k^{\triangle}$.

For $\lambda \in P_{0,k}^{+}$, let $\mathcal{O}_{0,k}^{\leq \lambda}$ be the Serre full subcategory of $\mathcal{O}_{0,k}$ consisting of objects M such that $M = \bigoplus\limits_{\mu \leq \lambda} M^{\mu}$. It is well-known (see e.g., [S]) that every $L(\mu)$ that lies in $\mathcal{O}_{0,k}^{\leq \lambda}$ admits the indecomposable projective cover $P_{\leq \lambda}(\mu)$ in $\mathcal{O}_{0,k}^{\leq \lambda}$, and hence, every finitely generated object in $\mathcal{O}_{0,k}^{\leq \lambda}$ is an image of a projective object of the form $\bigoplus_{i=1}^{r} P_{\leq \lambda}(\mu_i)$. The $P_{\leq \lambda}(\mu)$ is an object of $\mathcal{O}_{0,k}^{\triangle}$. Dually, $I_{\leq \lambda}(\mu) = P_{\leq \lambda}(\mu)^*$ is the injective envelope of $L(\mu)$ in $\mathcal{O}_{0,k}^{\leq \lambda}$.

5.4. **The "Top" Part of the BRST Cohomology.** Let M be an object of $\mathcal{O}_{0,k}$. Clearly, M_{top} is a $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$-submodule of M. By Theorem 5.1.2, $H_i^{\mathrm{Lie}}(M_{\mathrm{top}}) = 0$ for all $i > 0$, and $H_0^{\mathrm{Lie}}(M_{\mathrm{top}})$ is a finite-dimensional $\mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{fin}}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$-module.

The following assertion follows from Theorem 5.1.2.

Lemma 5.4.1. *Let M be an object of $\mathcal{O}_{0,k}$. Assume that $H_{\bullet}^{\mathrm{Lie}}(M_{\mathrm{top}}) \neq 0$. Then one has the following isomorphism of $\mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{fin}}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$-modules:*

$$H_i^{\mathrm{BRST}}(M)_{\mathrm{top}} \cong \begin{cases} H_0^{\mathrm{Lie}}(M_{\mathrm{top}}) & \text{for } i = 0 \\ 0 & \text{for } i \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

The following assertion follows from Theorems 5.1.1, 5.1.2 and Lemma 5.4.1.

Proposition 5.4.2. *One has*

$$H_i^{\mathrm{BRST}}(M_0(\lambda))_{\mathrm{top}} \cong \begin{cases} H_0^{\mathrm{Lie}}(\bar{M}_0(\bar{\lambda})) & \text{for } i = 0 \\ 0 & \text{for } i \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

$$H_i^{\mathrm{BRST}}(M_0(\lambda)^*)_{\mathrm{top}} \cong \begin{cases} H_0^{\mathrm{Lie}}(\bar{M}_0(\bar{\lambda})^*) & \text{for } i = 0 \\ 0 & \text{for } i \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

and if $\operatorname{Dim} \bar{L}(\bar{\lambda}) = d_{\bar{\chi}}$, *then*

$$H_i^{\mathrm{BRST}}(L(\lambda))_{\mathrm{top}} \cong \begin{cases} H_0^{\mathrm{Lie}}(\bar{L}(\bar{\lambda})) & \text{for } i = 0 \\ 0 & \text{for } i \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

5.5. The Vanishing and the Almost Irreduciblity.

Theorem 5.5.1. *Let M be an object of $\mathcal{O}_{0,k}$. Then $H_{\bullet}^{\mathrm{BRST}}(M)_d$ is finite-dimensional for all d. If M is an object of $\mathcal{O}_{0,k}^{\leq \lambda}$ then $H_i^{\mathrm{BRST}}(M)_d = 0$ unless $|i| \leq d - \langle \lambda, D \rangle$.*

Proof. By Theorem 5.1.2 one has $H_i^{\mathrm{Lie}}(M|_{\bar{\mathfrak{g}}}) = 0$ for all $i \neq 0$. Therefore by considering the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence for $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0} \subset L\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0}$, the assertion follows in the same manner as Theorem 7.4.2 of [A3]. □

Theorem 5.5.1 in particular implies that $H_{\bullet}^{\mathrm{BRST}}(M)$ is an ordinary representations for all $M \in \mathcal{O}_{0,k}$. It follows that one has the functor

$$\mathcal{O}_{0,k} \to \mathcal{W}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)\text{-}\mathfrak{mod}_{\sigma_R}, \quad M \to H_0^{\mathrm{BRST}}(M). \tag{90}$$

Theorem 5.5.2 ([KW3])**.** *For $\lambda \in P_{0,+}^k$ one has the following:*

- (i) *$H_i^{\mathrm{BRST}}(M_0(\lambda)) = 0$ for all $i \neq 0$.*
- (ii) *$H_0^{\mathrm{BRST}}(M_0(\lambda))$ is almost highest weight.*

(The proof of Theorem 5.5.2 is the same as that of Theorem 3.8.1.)

Theorem 5.5.3. *For $\lambda \in P_{0,+}^k$ one has the following:*

- (i) *$H_i^{\mathrm{BRST}}(M_0(\lambda)^*) = 0$ for all $i \neq 0$.*
- (ii) *$H_0^{\mathrm{BRST}}(M_0(\lambda)^*)$ is almost co-highest weight.*

The proof of Theorem 5.5.3 is given in Section 6.

Though our formulation is slightly different from that of [KW5], the following assertion essentially confirms Conjecture B of [KW5], partially (cf. Theorems 5.7.1, 5.8.1 and 5.8.4 below).

Theorem 5.5.4 (The main result)**.** *Let k be any complex number.*

- (i) *Let M be an object of $\mathcal{O}_{0,k}$. Then $H_i^{\mathrm{BRST}}(M) = 0$ for all $i \neq 0$. In particular the functor $H_0^{\mathrm{BRST}}(?) : \mathcal{O}_{0,k} \to \mathcal{W}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)\text{-}\mathfrak{mod}_{\sigma_R}$ is exact.*
- (ii) *For $\lambda \in P_{0,+}^k$, $H_0^{\mathrm{BRST}}(L(\lambda))$ is zero or almost irreducible. Further, one has $H_0^{\mathrm{BRST}}(L(\lambda)) \neq 0$ if and only if $\operatorname{Dim} \bar{L}(\bar{\lambda}) = d_{\chi}$.*

Proof. We give only the sketch of the proof because it is essentially the same as those of Theorems 7.6.1 and 7.6.3 of [A3].

From Theorem 5.5.2 (i) it follows that $H_i^{\mathrm{BRST}}(M)=0$ for all $i\neq 0$ and $M\in\mathcal{O}_{0,k}^{\Delta}$, and hence $H_i^{\mathrm{BRST}}(P_{\leq\lambda}(\mu))=0$ for all $i\neq 0$ and all $\mu\leq\lambda$ in $P_{0,+}^k$. This together with Theorem 5.5.1 gives the vanishing of $H_i^{\mathrm{BRST}}(M)$ for all $i>0$ and all $M\in\mathcal{O}_{0,k}$. Likewise, Theorem 5.5.3 (i) gives $H_i^{\mathrm{BRST}}(M)=0$ for all $i<0$ and all $M\in\mathcal{O}_{0,k}$. This shows (i). (ii) follows from (i) using Theorem 5.1.1 (ii), Theorem 5.5.2 (ii) and Theorem 5.5.3 (ii). □

Corollary 5.5.5. *Let $\lambda\in P_{0,+}^k$ with $k\in\mathbb{C}$. The representation $H_0^{\mathrm{BRST}}(L(\lambda))$ is irreducible over $\mathcal{W}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}},f)$ if and only if $H_0^{\mathrm{Lie}}(\bar{L}(\bar{\lambda}))$ is irreducible over $\mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{fin}}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}},f)$.*

Proof. The assertion follows immediately from Proposition 5.4.2 and Theorem 5.5.4 (ii). □

5.6. **The Character of $H_0^{\mathrm{BRST}}(L(\lambda))$.** Let $\operatorname{ch}L(\lambda)$ be the character of $L(\lambda)$: $\operatorname{ch}L(\lambda)=\sum_\mu e^\mu\dim L(\lambda)^\mu$. One has

$$\operatorname{ch}L(\lambda)=\sum_{\mu\in\mathfrak{h}^*}c_{\lambda,\mu}\frac{e^\mu}{\prod_{\alpha\in\Delta_+}(1-e^{-\alpha})^{\dim\mathfrak{g}_\alpha}}$$

with some $c_{\lambda,\mu}\in\mathbb{Z}$. The coefficient $c_{\lambda,\mu}$ is known by Kashiwara and Tanisaki [KT] (in terms of the Kazhdan-Lusztig polynomials) provided that k is not critical (for any simple summand of $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$).

Recall [KW3, KW5] that the "Cartan subalgebra" of $\mathcal{W}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}},f)$ is given by

$$\mathfrak{t}=\bar{\mathfrak{t}}\oplus\mathbb{C}D,\quad \text{where } \bar{\mathfrak{t}}=\bar{\mathfrak{h}}^f. \tag{91}$$

Because it commutes with $\mathbf{Q}_-$, $\mathfrak{t}$ acts on the space $H_\bullet^{\mathrm{BRST}}(M)$.

Let

$$\operatorname{ch}H_\bullet^{\mathrm{BRST}}(L(\lambda))=\sum_{\xi\in\mathfrak{t}^*}e^\xi\dim H_\bullet^{\mathrm{BRST}}(L(\lambda))_\xi,$$

where $H_\bullet^{\mathrm{BRST}}(L(\lambda))_\xi=\{c\in H_\bullet^{\mathrm{BRST}}(L(\lambda));tc=\xi(t)c\ \forall t\in\mathfrak{t}\}$.

Set

$$\chi_{H_\bullet^{\mathrm{BRST}}(L(\lambda))}=\sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty}(-1)^i\operatorname{ch}H_i^{\mathrm{BRST}}(L(\lambda)). \tag{92}$$

By the Euler-Poincaré principle one has [FKW, KRW, KW5]

$$\chi_{H_\bullet^{\mathrm{BRST}}(L(\lambda))}=\frac{\sum_\mu c_{\lambda,\mu}e^{\mu|_\mathfrak{t}}}{\prod_{j\geq1}(1-e^{-j\delta|_\mathfrak{t}})^{\operatorname{rank}\bar{\mathfrak{g}}}\prod_{\alpha\in\Delta_{0,+}^{\mathrm{re}}}(1-e^{-\alpha|_\mathfrak{t}})}, \tag{93}$$

where $\Delta_{0,+}^{\mathrm{re}}=\{\alpha\in\Delta_+^{\mathrm{re}};\bar{\alpha}\in\bar{\Delta}_0\}$.

The following assertion follows immediately from Theorem 5.5.4.

Theorem 5.6.1. *For $\lambda\in P_{0,+}^k$ one has*

$$\operatorname{ch}H_0^{\mathrm{BRST}}(L(\lambda))=\chi_{H_\bullet^{\mathrm{BRST}}(L(\lambda))}.$$

5.7. **Type A Case.** In type A, the following assertion follows immediately from (79), Theorems 4.4.1, 5.2.1 and 5.5.4 (in the notation of §5.2).

Theorem 5.7.1 ($\bar{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{sl}_n$). *Let k be any complex number.*

(i) *One has $H_i^{\mathrm{BRST}}(M) = 0$ for all $i \neq 0$ and all $M \in \mathcal{O}_{0,k}$.*

(ii) *For $\lambda \in P_{0,+}^k$, $H_0^{\mathrm{BRST}}(Ł(\lambda)) \neq 0$ if and only if $\langle \bar{\lambda} + \bar{\rho}, \alpha^{\vee} \rangle \notin \mathbb{N}$ for all $\alpha \in \bar{\Delta}_+^f$. In this case $H_0^{\mathrm{BRST}}(Ł(\lambda))$ is irreducible. Further, any irreducible ordinary Ramond twisted representation of $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{sl}_n, f)$ arises in this way.*

(iii) *Nonzero $H_0^{\mathrm{BRST}}(Ł(\lambda))$ and $H_0^{\mathrm{BRST}}(Ł(\mu))$ with $\lambda, \mu \in P_{0,+}^k$ are isomorphic if and only of $\bar{\mu} + \bar{\rho} \in \bar{W}^f(\bar{\lambda} + \bar{\rho})$.*

Theorems 5.6.1 and 5.7.1 determine[8] the characters of all irreducible ordinary Ramond twisted representations of $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{sl}_n, f)$ for all nilpotent elements f at all non-critical levels k.

Remark 5.7.2. If $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ is not of type A, it not true that nonzero $H_0^{\mathrm{BRST}}(L(\lambda))$ is always irreducible, see Theorem 3.6.3 of [M]. However it is likely that $H_0^{\mathrm{BRST}}(L(\lambda))$ is a direct sum of irreducible modules.

5.8. **Modular Invariant Representations.** In this section we assume that $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ is simple.

Let Pr^k be the set of principal admissible weights [KW2, KW5] of $\mathfrak{g}$ of level k. For $\lambda \in Pr^k$ one has [KW1]

$$\operatorname{ch} L(\lambda) = \sum_{w \in W(\lambda)} (-1)^{\ell_\lambda(w)} \frac{e^{w \circ \lambda}}{\prod_{j \geq 1}(1 - e^{-j\delta})^{\operatorname{rank} \bar{\mathfrak{g}}} \prod_{\alpha \in \Delta_+^{\mathrm{re}}}(1 - e^{-\alpha})}. \tag{94}$$

Let $\bar{\Delta}(\lambda) = \Delta(\lambda) \cap \bar{\Delta}$, and]let $\bar{W}(\bar{\lambda}) \subset \bar{W}$ be the integral Weyl group of $\bar{\lambda} \in \bar{\mathfrak{h}}^*$ generated by s_α with $\alpha \in \bar{\Delta}(\lambda)$. The formula (94) in particular implies that

$$\operatorname{ch} \bar{L}(\bar{\lambda}) = \sum_{w \in \bar{W}(\bar{\lambda})} (-1)^{\ell_{\bar{\lambda}}(\bar{\lambda})} \frac{e^{w \circ \lambda}}{\prod_{\alpha \in \bar{\Delta}_+}(1 - e^{-\alpha})}. \tag{95}$$

We remark that an element λ of Pr^k done not necessarily belong to $P_{0,+}^k$. However the Euler-Poincaré character $\chi_{H_0^{\mathrm{BRST}}(L(\lambda))}$ makes sense for all $\lambda \in Pr^k$ [KW5], and coincides with the right-hand-side of (93). Thus it has the form

$$\chi_{H_0^{\mathrm{BRST}}(L(\lambda))} = e^{\langle \lambda, D \rangle \delta|_{\mathfrak{t}}} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} e^{-j\delta|_{\mathfrak{t}}} \varphi_{\lambda, j} \tag{96}$$

with

$$\varphi_{\lambda,0} = \frac{\sum_{w \in \bar{W}(\bar{\lambda})} (-1)^{\ell_\lambda(w)} e^{w \circ \lambda|_{\mathfrak{t}}}}{\prod_{\alpha \in \bar{\Delta}_{0,+}} (1 - e^{-\alpha|_{\mathfrak{t}}})}. \tag{97}$$

Note that $\varphi_{\lambda,0}$ is the Euler-Poincaré character of $H_\bullet^{\mathrm{Lie}}(\bar{L}(\bar{\lambda}))$.

---

[8] In the case of f is a principal nilpotent element the characters of all irreducible positive energy representations of $\mathcal{W}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ was previously determined in [A3] (for all $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ and all $k \in \mathbb{C}$). Also, in the case f is a minimal nilpotent element the characters of all irreducible (non-twisted) positive energy representations of $\mathcal{W}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$ was previously determined in [A2] (for all $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$ and all non-critical k).

The Euler-Poincaré character $\chi_{H^{\mathrm{BRST}}_\bullet(L(\lambda))}$ is called *almost convergent* [KW5] if $\lim_{z\to 0}\varphi_{\lambda,0}(z)$ $(z\in\bar{\mathfrak{t}})$ exists and is non-zero. Set

$$\widetilde{M}_k=\{\lambda\in Pr^k;\chi_{H^{\mathrm{BRST}}_\bullet(L(\lambda))}\text{ is almost convergent}\},\tag{98}$$

$$M_k=\widetilde{M}_k\cap P^k_{0,+}.\tag{99}$$

(We are not assuming that $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}=\mathfrak{sl}_n$.)

Theorem 5.8.1. *Let $\lambda\in M_k$. Then $H^{\mathrm{BRST}}_\bullet(L(\lambda))$ is irreducible.*

Proof. By Corollary 5.5.5 it is sufficient to show that $H^{\mathrm{Lie}}_0(\bar{L}(\bar{\lambda}))$ is irreducible over $\mathcal{W}^{\mathrm{fin}}(\bar{\mathfrak{g}},f)$.

By Corollary 2.2 of [KW5] (or its proof) one has

$$|\bar{\Delta}(\lambda)|=|\bar{\Delta}_0|.$$

(In our setting $\Delta^0\sqcup\Delta^{1/2}$ in [KW5] is identified with $\bar{\Delta}_0$, see [BG].) Because $\lambda\in P^k_{0,+}$, $\bar{\Delta}_0\subset\bar{\Delta}_+(\lambda)$, and hence $\bar{\Delta}(\lambda)=\bar{\Delta}_0$. This implies

$$\langle\lambda+\rho,\alpha^\vee\rangle\notin\mathbb{Z},\quad\forall\alpha\in\bar{\Delta}_{>0}.\tag{100}$$

Thanks to Theorem 3.4.4 of [M], this gives the irreduciblity of $H^{\mathrm{Lie}}_0(\bar{L}(\bar{\lambda}))$. □

Remark 5.8.2. Let $\lambda\in P^k_{0,+}$. From Theorem 5.5.4 it follows that $\chi_{H^{\mathrm{BRST}}_0(L(\lambda))}$ is almost convergent if and only if $\operatorname{Dim}\bar{L}(\bar{\lambda})=d_\chi$.

Recall [KW5] that the pair (k,f) is called *exceptional* if the Euler-Poincare character $\chi_{H^{\mathrm{BRST}}_\bullet(L(\lambda))}$ is almost convergent for some $\lambda\in Pr^k$, and is either zero or almost convergent for all $\lambda\in Pr^k$.

The exceptional pairs are classified in [KW5] in type A: Each admissible number [KW2] k of $\mathfrak{sl}_n$ is written as

$$k+n=\frac{p}{q},\quad p\geq n,\quad q\geq 1,\quad (p,q)=1.\tag{101}$$

For such a k the pair (k,f) is exceptional if and only if f is the nilpotent element corresponding to the partition $(s,q,q,\dots,q)$ $(s\equiv n\pmod q,\ 0\leq s<q)$.

The following assertion was implicitly proved[9] in [KW5].

Proposition 5.8.3. *Let (k,f) be an exceptional pair for $\mathfrak{sl}_n$. There is an bijection*

$$\bar{W}^f\times M_k\xrightarrow{\sim}\widetilde{M}_k,\quad (w,\lambda)\mapsto w\circ\lambda.$$

Proof. By Theorem 2.3 of [KW5],

$$\widetilde{M}_k=\{\lambda\in Pr^k;\bar{\Delta}(\lambda)\subset\bar{\Delta}\backslash\bar{\Delta}^f\}.\tag{102}$$

Let $\lambda\in\widetilde{M}_k$, $w\in\bar{W}^f$. Since $\Delta^{\mathrm{re}}_+\cap w^{-1}(\Delta^{\mathrm{re}}_-)\subset\bar{\Delta}^f_+$, (102) gives $\Delta^{\mathrm{re}}_+(\lambda)\cap w^{-1}(\Delta^{\mathrm{re}}_-)=\emptyset$, or equivalently, $w\circ\lambda\in Pr^k$. Because

$$\chi_{H^{\mathrm{BRST}}_\bullet(L(\lambda))}=\chi_{H^{\mathrm{BRST}}_\bullet(L(w\circ\lambda))},\quad\forall w\in\bar{W}^f,\tag{103}$$

the element $w\circ\lambda$ belongs to $\widetilde{M}_k$. Therefore the shifted action of $\bar{W}^f$ preserves $\widetilde{M}_k$. Further, again by (102), it follows that this action of $\bar{W}^f$ on $\widetilde{M}_k$ is faithful, and that $M_k\cap(\bar{W}^f\circ\lambda)=\{\lambda\}$ for $\lambda\in M_k$.

---

[9] In the case that f is a principal nilpotent element (= the case that $q\geq n$, $\bar{\Delta}_0=\emptyset$ and $\bar{\Delta}^f=\bar{\Delta}$) Proposition 5.8.3 was proved in [FKW].

Next let k be as in (101). By Lemma 3.1 of [KW5] one has

$$\operatorname{rank}\bar{\Delta}(\lambda) \geq \min(n-q, 0) = \operatorname{rank}\bar{\Delta}_0, \quad \forall\lambda \in Pr^k. \tag{104}$$

According to (the proofs of) Propositions 3.2 and 3.3 of [KW5], the rank of any root subsystem in $\bar{\Delta}\backslash\bar{\Delta}^f$ is equal to or smaller than $\operatorname{rank}\bar{\Delta}_0$, and is equal to $\operatorname{rank}\bar{\Delta}_0$ if and only if it is $\bar{W}^f$-conjugate to $\bar{\Delta}_0$. Thus for $\lambda \in \widetilde{M}_k$ there exists $w \in \bar{W}^f$ such that $\bar{\Delta}(\lambda) = w(\bar{\Delta}_0)$, and thus $w^{-1} \circ \lambda \in M_k$. This completes the proof. □

According to [KW5], Theorem 5.7.1 and Proposition 5.8.3 give the following assertion[10].

Theorem 5.8.4 (Conjectured by Kac and Wakimoto [KW5])**.** *Let (k, f) be an exceptional pair for $\mathfrak{sl}_n$. The linear span of the normalized characters of irreducible ordinary Ramond twisted representations $H_0^{\mathrm{BRST}}(L(\lambda))$ of $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{sl}_n, f)$, with $\lambda \in M_k$, are closed under the natural action of $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$.*

6. Proof of Theorem 5.5.3

The proof of Theorem 5.5.3 is essentially the repetition of the argument of §7 of [A3]. Therefore we give only the sketch of the proof.

6.1. **Step 1.** Let

$$C^\bullet(M_0(\lambda)) := M_0(\lambda) \otimes \bigwedge\nolimits^{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet}(L\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{>0}). \tag{105}$$

As in §8.2 of [A3], we identify $M_0(\lambda)^* \otimes \bigwedge^{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet}(L\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{>0})$ with $C^\bullet(M_0(\lambda))^*$ (* is defined in (36)):

$$H_\bullet^{\mathrm{BRST}}(M_0(\lambda)^*) = H_\bullet(C^\bullet(M_0(\lambda))^*, \mathbf{Q}_-). \tag{106}$$

The differential $\mathbf{Q}_-$ acts on $C^\bullet(M_0(\lambda))^*$ by

$$(\mathbf{Q}_-\phi)(c) = \phi(\mathbf{Q}_+ c) \tag{107}$$

for $\phi \in C^\bullet(M_0(\lambda))^*$, $v \in C^\bullet(M_0(\lambda))$, where

$$\mathbf{Q}_+ = (Q_+^{\mathrm{st}})_{(0)} + \chi'_+, \quad \chi'_+ = \sum_{\alpha \in \Delta_{\geq 1}} \chi(x_\alpha)\psi_{-\alpha}(0). \tag{108}$$

Below we regard $C^\bullet(M_0(\lambda))$ as a σ_R-twisted representation of $\mathcal{C}^\bullet$ by the action

$$X(n)^R \mapsto \widehat{t}_{-\frac{1}{2}h_0}(X(n)), \quad \psi_\alpha(n)^R \mapsto \widehat{t}_{-\frac{1}{2}h_0}(\psi_\alpha(n)) \tag{109}$$

(see Remark 6.1.2 below). Then

$$(Q_{(-1)}\mathbf{1})_{(0)}^{C^\bullet(M_0(\lambda))} = \mathbf{Q}_+ \tag{110}$$

(in the notation of §2.3).

Let $C_+^\bullet(\lambda)$ the $\mathcal{C}_+^\bullet$-submodule of $C^\bullet(M_0(\lambda))$ spanned by the vectors

$$\widehat{J}_{a_1}(m_1) \dots \widehat{J}_{a_r}(m_r)\psi_{\beta_1}(n_1) \dots \psi_{\beta_s}(n_s)v_\lambda \tag{111}$$

with $a_i \in \bar{\Delta}_{\leq 0} \sqcup \bar{I}$ and $\beta_i \in \bar{\Delta}_{<0}$, where v_λ is the highest weight vector of $C^\bullet(M_0(\lambda))$. As in §3.8, it follows that $C_+^\bullet(\lambda)$ is an subcomplex of $C^\bullet(M_0(\lambda))$.

---

[10] However the rationality of the simple quotient of $\mathcal{W}^k(\mathfrak{sl}_n, f)$ still remains to be an open problem.

The graded dual space $C_+^\bullet(\lambda)^*$ of $C_+^\bullet(\lambda)$ is a quotient complex of $C^\bullet(M_0(\lambda))^*$. Thus there is a natural map

$$H_\bullet^{\mathrm{BRST}}(M_0(\lambda)^*) \to H_\bullet(C_+^\bullet(\lambda)^*). \tag{112}$$

The space $C_+^\bullet(\lambda)^*$ is a $\mathcal{C}_+^\bullet$-submodule of $M_0(\lambda)^* \otimes \bigwedge^{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet}(L\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{>0})$ with respect to the action (71). Hence by (61) it follows that (112) is a homomorphism of Ramond twisted representations of $\mathcal{W}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$.

One has the following assertion (cf. Proposition 8.3.4 of [A3]):

Proposition 6.1.1. *The map (112) gives the isomorphism*

$$H_\bullet^{\mathrm{BRST}}(M_0(\lambda)^*) \cong H_\bullet(C_+^\bullet(\lambda)^*)$$

of $\mathcal{W}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$*-modules.*

Remark 6.1.2. Using the action (109) one can define a σ_R-twisted $\mathcal{C}^\bullet$-module structure on $M_0(\lambda)^* \otimes \bigwedge^{\frac{\infty}{2}+\bullet}(L\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{>0})$ by the formula

$$(X(n)\phi)(c) = \phi(X^t(-n)c) \quad \text{with } X \in \bar{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

This is not the same as action as (71), but as easily seen $H_0^{\mathrm{BRST}}(M_0(\lambda)^*)$ is almost co-highest weight if and only if it is so with respect to this new action of $\mathcal{W}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$.

6.2. **Step 2.** One has

$$C_+^\bullet(\lambda) = \bigoplus_{d \in -\langle \lambda, D\rangle + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} C_+^\bullet(\lambda)_d, \quad \dim C_+^\bullet(\lambda)_d = \infty.$$

Note that the subspace $C_+^\bullet(\lambda)_{\mathrm{top}} = C_+^\bullet(\lambda)_{-\langle \lambda, D\rangle}$ is the subcomplex of $(C_+^\bullet(\lambda), \mathbf{Q}_+)$ spanned by the vectors

$$\widehat{J}_{a_1}(0) \dots \widehat{J}_{a_r}(0) \psi_{\beta_1}(0) \dots \psi_{\beta_s}(0) v_\lambda \tag{113}$$

with $a_i \in \bar{\Delta}_{\leq 0} \sqcup \bar{I}$, $\beta_i \in \bar{\Delta}_{<0}$, and hence,

$$C_+^\bullet(\lambda)_{\mathrm{top}} = \bar{M}_0(\bar{\lambda}) \otimes \bigwedge^\bullet(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{>0}^*). \tag{114}$$

One has the weight space decomposition

$$C_+^\bullet(\lambda)_{\mathrm{top}} = \bigoplus_{\substack{\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^* \\ \langle \lambda - \mu, x_0\rangle \geq 0}} C_+^\bullet(\lambda)_{\mathrm{top}}^\mu.$$

Define a decreasing filtration

$$C_+^\bullet(\lambda)_{\mathrm{top}} = F^0 C_+^\bullet(\lambda)_{\mathrm{top}} \supset F^1 C_+^\bullet(\lambda)_{\mathrm{top}} \supset \dots$$

of $C_+^\bullet(\lambda)_{\mathrm{top}}$ by

$$F^p C_+^\bullet(\lambda)_{\mathrm{top}} = \bigoplus_{\substack{\mu \in \mathfrak{h}^* \\ \langle \lambda - \mu, x_0\rangle \geq p}} C_+^\bullet(\lambda)_{\mathrm{top}}^\mu. \tag{115}$$

Then

$$(Q_+^{\mathrm{st}})_{(0)} \cdot F^p C_+^\bullet(\lambda)_{\mathrm{top}} \subset F^p C_+^\bullet(\lambda)_{\mathrm{top}}, \tag{116}$$

$$\chi'_+ \cdot F^p C_+^\bullet(\lambda)_{\mathrm{top}} \subset F^{p+1} C_+^\bullet(\lambda)_{\mathrm{top}}. \tag{117}$$

Let $F^pC_+^\bullet(\lambda)$ be the subspace of $C_+^\bullet(\lambda)$ generated by $C_+^\bullet(\lambda)_{\mathrm{top}}$ over $\mathcal{C}_+^\bullet$. One has

$$C_+^\bullet(\lambda) = F^0C_+^\bullet(\lambda) \supset F^1C_+^\bullet(\lambda) \supset \dots, \tag{118}$$

$$\bigcap_p F^pC_+^\bullet(\lambda) = 0, \tag{119}$$

$$\mathbf{Q}_+F^pC_+^\bullet(\lambda) \subset F^pC_+^\bullet(\lambda), \tag{120}$$

$$a_{(n)} \cdot F^pC_+^\bullet(\lambda) \subset F^pC_+^\bullet(\lambda) \quad (a \in \mathcal{C}_+^\bullet, n \in \mathbb{Z}) \tag{121}$$

(cf. Proposition 8.5.3 of [A3]).

Let $({}^\vee E_r^{p,q}, d_r)$ be the corresponding spectral sequence:

$${}^\vee E_0^{p,q} = F^pC_+^{p+q}(\lambda)/F^{p+1}C_+^{p+q}(\lambda), \tag{122}$$

$${}^\vee E_1^{p,q} = H^{p+q}({}^\vee E_0^{p,\bullet}). \tag{123}$$

We do not claim that this spectral sequence converges to $H^\bullet(C_+^\bullet(\lambda))$. We will show in Proposition 6.4.2 below that ${}^\vee E_r$ converges to the dual $\mathsf{D}(H_\bullet^{\mathrm{BRST}}(M_0(\lambda)^*))$ of $H_\bullet^{\mathrm{BRST}}(M_0(\lambda)^*)$.

6.3. **Step 3.** Set

$$F_pC_+^\bullet(\lambda)^* = (C_+^\bullet(\lambda)/F^pC_+^\bullet(\lambda))^* \subset C_+^\bullet(\lambda)^*. \tag{124}$$

Then $\{F_pC_+^\bullet(\lambda)^*\}$ defines an exhaustive, increasing filtration of the chain complex $\{C_+^\bullet(\lambda)^*\}$ which is obviously bounded below (cf. Lemma 8.5.4 and Proposition 8.5.5 of [A3]). It follows that one has the corresponding converging spectral sequence

$$E^r \Rightarrow H_\bullet(C_+^\bullet(\lambda)^*) = H_\bullet^{\mathrm{BRST}}(M_0(\lambda)^*). \tag{125}$$

Let $\{F_pH_\bullet^{\mathrm{BRST}}(M_0(\lambda)^*)\}$ be the corresponding increasing filtration of $H_\bullet^{\mathrm{BRST}}(M_0(\lambda)^*)$.

Because the filtration is compatible with the action of the Hamiltonian $-D$, each $E_{p,q}^r$ decomposes into eigenspaces of $-D$ as complexes:

$$E_{p,q}^r = \bigoplus_{d \in -\langle\lambda, D\rangle + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} (E_{p,q}^r)_d. \tag{126}$$

It follows that

$$E_{p,q}^\infty = \bigoplus_{d \in -\langle\lambda, D\rangle + \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} (E_{p,q}^\infty)_d, \tag{127}$$

and each $(E^r)_d$ converges to $(E^\infty)_d$. In particular one has

$$\bigoplus_{p+q=n} (E_{p,q}^\infty)_{\mathrm{top}} = \begin{cases} \mathrm{gr}_F\, H_0^{\mathrm{BRST}}(M_0(\lambda)^*)_{\mathrm{top}} & \text{if } p+q=0, \\ 0 & \text{if } p+q \neq 0. \end{cases} \tag{128}$$

by Proposition 5.4.2.

Also by (121) this filtration is compatible with the σ_R-twisted action of $\mathcal{W}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$. Hence each $E_{p,q}^r$ is a Ramond twisted representation of $\mathcal{W}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$, and the differential d^r is a morphism in $\mathcal{W}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$-$\mathfrak{Mod}_{\sigma_R}$. Therefore $\{F_pH_\bullet^{\mathrm{BRST}}(M_0(\lambda)^*)\}$ is a filtration of Ramond twisted representations of $\mathcal{W}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$, and the corresponding graded space

$$\mathrm{gr}^F\, H_0^{\mathrm{BRST}}(M_0(\lambda)^*) = \bigoplus_{p+q=0} E_{p,q}^\infty$$

is also an object of $\mathcal{W}^k(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}, f)$-$\mathfrak{Mod}_{\sigma_R}$.

6.4. **Step 4.** Consider the subcomplex

$$({}^\vee E_0^{p,q})_{\mathrm{top}} = ({}^\vee E_0^{p,q})_{\langle\lambda,D\rangle} = F^p C_+^{p+q}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{top}}/F^{p+1}C_+^{p+q}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{top}} \cong \bigoplus_{\langle\lambda-\mu,x_0\rangle=p} C_+^{p+q}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{top}}^{\mu}$$

of ${}^\vee E_0^{p,q}$. By (117) one has

$$\big(({}^\vee E_0^{p,\bullet})_{\mathrm{top}}, \mathbf{Q}_+\big) \cong \bigoplus_{\langle\lambda-\mu,x_0\rangle=p} \big(C_+^{p+q}(\lambda)_{\mathrm{top}}^{\mu}, (Q_+^{\mathrm{st}})_{(0)}\big) \tag{129}$$

as complexes.

By definition ${}^\vee E_0^{p,\bullet}$ is spanned by the vectors

$$\widehat{J}_{a_1}(m_1)\dots\widehat{J}_{a_r}(m_r)\psi_{\beta_1}(n_1)\dots\psi_{\beta_s}(n_s)c \tag{130}$$

with $c \in ({}^\vee E_0^{p,\bullet})_{\mathrm{top}}$, and $m_i, n_i < 0$. It follows that each D-eigenspace $({}^\vee E_0^{p,\bullet})_d$ is finite-dimensional. Thus by Lemma 3.3.1,

$$E^0_{p,q}(= ({}^\vee E_0^{p-1,q+1})^*) = \mathsf{D}({}^\vee E_0^{p-1,q+1}). \tag{131}$$

The following assertion follows immediately from (131).

Proposition 6.4.1. *One has* $E^1_{p,q} = \mathsf{D}({}^\vee E_1^{p-1,q+1})$, *or equivalently,* ${}^\vee E_1^{p,q} = \mathsf{D}(E^1_{p+1,q-1})$.

The following assertion follows from Proposition 6.4.1 by the inductive argument.

Proposition 6.4.2. *The spectral sequence* ${}^\vee E^r$ *converges to* $\mathsf{D}(E_\infty)$.

The proof of the following assertion is the same as that of Theorem 3.8.1.

Proposition 6.4.3. *One has* ${}^\vee E_1^{p,q} = 0$ *for* $p+q \neq 0$ *and there is a linear isomorphism*

$$U(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^f[t^{-1}]t^{-1}) \otimes ({}^\vee E_1^{p,-p})_{\mathrm{top}} \xrightarrow{\sim} {}^\vee E_1^{p,-p}$$

of the form

$$u_{i_1}(-n_1)\dots u_{i_r}(-n_r)\otimes v \mapsto \mathsf{W}^{(i_1)}_{-n_1}\dots\mathsf{W}^{(i_r)}_{-n_r}v \tag{132}$$

with a fixed PBW basis $\{u_{i_1}(-n_1)\dots u_{i_r}(-n_r)\}$ *of* $U(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^f\otimes\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}]t^{-1})$.

Thanks to Proposition 6.4.3 the following assertion follows by induction.

Proposition 6.4.4. *There exist isomorphisms of chain complexes*

$$({}^\vee E_r^{p,q}, d_r) \cong (U(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^f[t^{-1}]t^{-1})\otimes({}^\vee E_r^{p,q})_{\mathrm{top}}, 1\otimes d^r)$$

of the form (132) *with* $v \in ({}^\vee E_r^{p,q})_{\mathrm{top}}$ *for all* $r \geq 1$. *Therefore one has the linear isomorphism*

$${}^\vee E_\infty^{p,q} \cong U(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}^f[t^{-1}]t^{-1})\otimes({}^\vee E_\infty^{p,q})_{\mathrm{top}}$$

of the form (132) *with* $v \in ({}^\vee E_\infty^{p,q})_{\mathrm{top}}$.

By (128) and Proposition 6.4.1 one has

$$({}^\vee E_\infty^{p,q})_{\mathrm{top}} = \mathsf{D}((E^\infty_{p+1,q-1})_{\mathrm{top}}) = 0 \quad \text{if } p+q \neq 0.$$

By Proposition 6.4.4 this gives ${}^\vee E_\infty^{p,q} = 0$ if $p+q \neq 0$, or equivalently,

$$E^\infty_{p,q} = 0 \quad \text{if } p+q \neq 0. \tag{133}$$

This gives that $H_n^{\mathrm{BRST}}(M_0(\lambda)^*) = 0$ for all $n \neq 0$.

Also, from Proposition 6.4.4 if follows that each ${}^\vee E_\infty^{p,-p}$ is almost highest weight. Therefore $E_{p,-p}^\infty = \operatorname{gr}_p H_0^{\mathrm{BRST}}(M_0(\lambda)^*) = \mathsf{D}(E_\infty^{p-1,-p+1})$ is almost co-highest weight with $(E_{p,-p}^\infty)_{\mathrm{top}} = (E_{p,-p}^\infty)_{-\langle\lambda,D\rangle}$ (see Remark 6.1.2). Hence $H_0^{\mathrm{BRST}}(M_0(\lambda)^*)$ is also co-highest weight.

This completes the proof of (ii) of Theorem 5.5.3. □

References

[A1] T. Arakawa. Vanishing of cohomology associated to quantized Drinfeld-Sokolov reduction. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, (15):730–767, 2004.

[A2] T. Arakawa. Representation theory of superconformal algebras and the Kac-Roan-Wakimoto conjecture. *Duke Math. J.*, 130(3):435–478, 2005.

[A3] T. Arakawa. Representation theory of W-algebras. *Invent. Math.*, 169(2):219–320, 2007.

[BG] Jonathan Brundan and Simon M. Goodwin. Good grading polytopes. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)*, 94(1):155–180, 2007.

[BGK] Jonathan Brundan, Simon M. Goodwin, and Alexander Kleshchev. Highest wieght theory for finite W-algeberas. *preprint*, 2008. arXiv:0801.1337v1[math.RT].

[BaK] Bojko Bakalov and Victor G. Kac. Twisted modules over lattice vertex algebras. In *Lie theory and its applications in physics V*, pages 3–26. World Sci. Publ., River Edge, NJ, 2004.

[BK] Jonathan Brundan and Alexander Kleshchev. Representations of shifted Yangians and finite W-algebras. *preprint*, 2005. math.RT/0508003, to appear in Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.

[dBT1] Jan de Boer and Tjark Tjin. Quantization and representation theory of finite W algebras. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 158(3):485–516, 1993.

[dBT2] Jan de Boer and Tjark Tjin. The relation between quantum W algebras and Lie algebras. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 160(2):317–332, 1994.

[D^{3}HK] A. D'Andrea, C. De Concini, A. De Sole, R. Heluani, and V. Kac. Threee equivalent definitions of finite W-algebras. *appendix to* [DSK], 2006.

[DSK] Alberto De Sole and Victor G. Kac. Finite vs affine W-algebras. *Japan. J. Math.*, 1(1):137–261, 2006.

[EK] A. G. Elashvili and V. G. Kac. Classification of good gradings of simple Lie algebras. In *Lie groups and invariant theory*, volume 213 of *Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. Ser. 2*, pages 85–104. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005.

[FBZ] Edward Frenkel and David Ben-Zvi. *Vertex algebras and algebraic curves*, volume 88 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, second edition, 2004.

[F] B. L. Feĭgin. Semi-infinite homology of Lie, Kac-Moody and Virasoro algebras. *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, 39(2(236)):195–196, 1984.

[FF] Boris Feigin and Edward Frenkel. Quantization of the Drinfel′d-Sokolov reduction. *Phys. Lett. B*, 246(1-2):75–81, 1990.

[FKW] Edward Frenkel, Victor Kac, and Minoru Wakimoto. Characters and fusion rules for W-algebras via quantized Drinfel′d-Sokolov reduction. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 147(2):295–328, 1992.

[K1] Victor G. Kac. *Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, third edition, 1990.

[K2] Victor Kac. *Vertex algebras for beginners*, volume 10 of *University Lecture Series*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, second edition, 1998.

[KRW] Victor Kac, Shi-Shyr Roan, and Minoru Wakimoto. Quantum reduction for affine superalgebras. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 241(2-3):307–342, 2003.

[KS] Bertram Kostant and Shlomo Sternberg. Symplectic reduction, BRS cohomology, and infinite-dimensional Clifford algebras. *Ann. Physics*, 176(1):49–113, 1987.

[KT] Masaki Kashiwara and Toshiyuki Tanisaki. Characters of irreducible modules with non-critical highest weights over affine Lie algebras. In *Representations and quantizations (Shanghai, 1998)*, pages 275–296. China High. Educ. Press, Beijing, 2000.

[KW1] Victor G. Kac and Minoru Wakimoto. Modular invariant representations of infinite-dimensional Lie algebras and superalgebras. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 85(14):4956–4960, 1988.

[KW2] V. G. Kac and M. Wakimoto. Classification of modular invariant representations of affine algebras. In *Infinite-dimensional Lie algebras and groups (Luminy-Marseille, 1988)*, volume 7 of *Adv. Ser. Math. Phys.*, pages 138–177. World Sci. Publ., Teaneck, NJ, 1989.

[KW3] Victor G. Kac and Minoru Wakimoto. Quantum reduction and representation theory of superconformal algebras. *Adv. Math.*, 185(2):400–458, 2004.

[KW4] Victor G. Kac and Minoru Wakimoto. Corrigendum to: "Quantum reduction and representation theory of superconformal algebras" [Adv. Math. **185** (2004), no. 2, 400–458; mr2060475]. *Adv. Math.*, 193(2):453–455, 2005.

[KW5] Victor G. Kac and Minoru Wakimoto. On rationality of W-algebras. *preprint*, 2007. arXiv:0711.2296v1[math-ph].

[Li] Hai-Sheng Li. Local systems of twisted vertex operators, vertex operator superalgebras and twisted modules. In *Moonshine, the Monster, and related topics (South Hadley, MA, 1994)*, volume 193 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 203–236. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1996.

[Los] Ivan V. Losev. Quantized symplectic actions and W-algebras. *preprint*, 2007. arXiv:0707.3108v2[math.RT].

[Lyn] T. E. Lynch. *Generalized Whittaker vectors and representation theory.* PhD thesis, M.I.T., 1979.

[M] Hisayosi Matumoto. Whittaker modules associated with highest weight modules. *Duke Math. J.*, 60(1):59–113, 1990.

[P1] Alexander Premet. Special transverse slices and their enveloping algebras. *Adv. Math.*, 170(1):1–55, 2002. With an appendix by Serge Skryabin.

[P2] Alexander Premet. Primitive ideals, non-restricted representations and finite W-algebras. *preprint*, 2006. arXiv:math/0612465v2[math.RT].

[P3] Alexander Premet. Enveloping algebras of Slodowy slices and the Joseph ideal. *J. Eur. Math. Soc.*, 9(3):487–543, 2007.

[S] Wolfgang Soergel. Character formulas for tilting modules over Kac-Moody algebras. *Represent. Theory*, 2:432–448 (electronic), 1998.

[Z] Yongchang Zhu. Modular invariance of characters of vertex operator algebras. *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 9(1):237–302, 1996.

Department of Mathematics, Nara Women's University, Nara 630-8506, JAPAN
E-mail address: `arakawa@cc.nara-wu.ac.jp`